



ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT
MANAGEMENT
SERVICES


T 011 789 7170 E info@eims.co.za W www.eims.co.za

FINAL REHABILITATION, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PLAN, INCORPORATING AN ANNUAL REHABILITATION PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT

BLACK MOUNTAIN MINING- TIERKLIP PROSPECTING RIGHT
PROJECT

DMR REFERENCE NUMBER:

NC30/5/1/1/2/12391PR





DOCUMENT DETAILS

EIMS REFERENCE: 1304-4

DOCUMENT TITLE: FINAL REHABILITATION, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PLAN,
INCORPORATING AN ANNUAL REHABILITATION PLAN AND
ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT
BLACK MOUNTAIN MINING- TIERKLIP PROSPECTING RIGHT PROJECT

DOCUMENT CONTROL

	NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
COMPILED:	Pieter Holtzhausen/ GP Kriel		2020/01/23
CHECKED:	GP Kriel		2020/01/23
AUTHORIZED:	Liam Whitlow		2020/01/23

REVISION AND AMENDMENTS

REVISION DATE:	REV #	DESCRIPTION
2020/01/23	ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	FINAL REHABILITATION, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PLAN, INCORPORATING AN ANNUAL REHABILITATION PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT



SUMMARY DATA

Project	Tierklip Prospecting Right Project
Location:	Northern Cape
Applicant:	Black Mountain Mining
Consultant:	Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd (EIMS)
Contact:	GP Kriel
Contact Details:	PO Box 19731, Tecoma, 5214
	Tel: (043) 783 9826
	Fax: (086) 571 9047
	E-mail: gp@eims.co.za



Table of Contents

Summary Data.....	i
1 Introduction	5
2 Details of the Specialist.....	7
3 Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning And Closure Plan (FRDCP)	7
3.1 Project and Environmental Context.....	8
3.1.1 Project Context	8
3.1.2 Environmental and Social Context.....	23
3.1.3 Stakeholder Issues and Comments.....	40
3.2 Environmental Risk Assessment	40
3.3 Environmental Indicators and Monitoring.....	60
3.4 Design Principles	65
3.4.1 Legislative and governance framework	65
3.4.2 Closure vision, objective and targets	69
3.4.3 Alternative closure and post closure options	70
3.4.4 Motivation for preferred closure option	71
3.4.5 Closure period and Post Closure Requirements	71
3.4.6 Assumptions and limitations.....	72
3.5 Final Post Prospecting Land use.....	72
3.6 Closure actions.....	72
3.6.1 Integrated Rehabilitation and Closure Plan.....	72
3.6.2 Phase 1: Making Safe	73
3.6.3 Phase 2: Landform Design, Erosion Control and Revegetation	73
3.6.4 Phase 3: Monitoring and Maintenance	73
3.6.5 Post-Closure Monitoring and Maintenance.....	74
3.7 Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Schedule	74
3.8 Organisational Capacity	75
3.9 Identification of closure plan gaps.....	75
3.10 Relinquishment Criteria	76
3.11 Closure Cost - FRDCP.....	76
3.11.1 Closure cost methodology	76
3.11.2 Closure cost estimation.....	76
3.11.3 Closure cost assumptions and limitations	77
3.12 Monitoring, Auditing and Reporting.....	77



4	Annual rehabilitation plan	78
5	Environmental risk assessment – latent and residual environmental impacts	78
5.1	The assessment process used and description of latent environmental risk	78
5.2	Management activities, costing and monitoring requirements	79

List of Figures

Figure 1: Locality Map	17
Figure 2: Elevation profile of the proposed sites.....	24
Figure 3: Extract of the 1: 250 000 SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity Map (Tierklip Application =Area 4)	24
Figure 4: Geology of the application area.	25
Figure 5: Vegetation types based on the Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho & Swaziland (BGIS, 2018). .	26
Figure 6: Watercourses identified within the application area	27
Figure 7: Northern Cape Conservation Plan.	28
Figure 8:Ecosystem Threat Status	29
Figure 9: Land Cover	30
Figure 10: Ecosystem Protection Level	31
Figure 11: Important areas in relation to the project area.....	32
Figure 12: Heritage sensitivity (PGS, 2019).....	33

List of Tables

Table 1: NEMA GNR 1147 Appendix 3, 4 and 5 Requirements and Associated Sections Where They Are Addressed	5
Table 2: Details of Specialist	7
Table 3: Locality Details	8
Table 4: Properties within the Application Area.....	9
Table 5: Application Area Boundary Coordinates.....	12
Table 6: Project Phases.....	20
Table 7: Listed and Specified Activities.....	21
Table 8: Plant Species of Conservation Concern expected to occur in the prospecting area (BODATSA-POSA, 2016)	35
Table 9: List of bird species of regional or global conservation importance that are expected to occur in the pendants mentioned above (SABAP2, 2019, ESKOM, 2015; IUCN, 2017)	36
Table 10: List of mammal species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area as well as their global and regional conservation statuses (IUCN, 2017; SANBI, 2016)	36
Table 11: Expected reptile species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area	37
Table 12: Expected amphibian species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area.....	37



Table 13: Impact Assessment for Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure.....	41
Table 14: Environmental Indicators and Monitoring Requirements	60
Table 15: Closure Alternatives	70
Table 16: Timeframes each of the proposed activities.....	74



1 INTRODUCTION

Black Mountain Mining (Pty) Ltd (the Applicant) has submitted an application for a Prospecting Right in terms of Section 16 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act 28 of 2002) (MPRDA) and an Application for Environmental Authorization in terms of Chapter 4 of GNR 982 promulgated under the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA) to prospect for ferrous & base metals (Copper Ore, Iron Ore, Zinc Ore, Lead Ore, Manganese Ore, Nickel and Molybdenum) and all associated metals and minerals, precious metals (Gold Ore, Silver Ore) and all associated metals and minerals.

The proposed project that will aim to ascertain if economically viable mineral deposits exist within the application area. In order to undertake prospecting activities, Black Mountain Mining will require a Prospecting Right in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA, Act No.28 of 2002). The Applicant is also required to obtain an Environmental Authorisation (EA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA, Act No. 107 of 1998) which involves the submission of a Basic Assessment Report (BAR). Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd (EIMS) have been appointed by Black Mountain Mining to compile the BAR (this report) in support of the Prospecting Right application submitted by EIMS on behalf of Black Mountain Mining, which in turn will be submitted to the DMR for adjudication.

EIMS has been appointed as the independent consultants to assess the environmental impacts and requirements in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act No. 28 of 2002, MPRDA) and the National Environmental Management Act (Act No.107 of 1998, NEMA). This includes submitting an application for a prospecting right and environmental authorisation as well as preparation of a Basic Assessment Report and EMPR for the proposed prospecting activity to the DMR.

In accordance with Section 24P of the NEMA the Applicant must, before the Minister responsible for mineral resources issues the EA, comply with the prescribed financial provision for the rehabilitation, closure and ongoing post decommissioning management of negative environmental impacts. This Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan (FRDCP) aims to meet this requirement and has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the NEMA Financial Provisioning Regulations (2015) (NEMA GNR 1147).

According to the regulations, financial provision must be made for rehabilitation and remediation; decommissioning and closure activities at the end of prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations; and remediation and management of latent or residual environmental impacts which may become known in the future. In order to address these requirements, this document includes an annual rehabilitation plan, a final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan, and an environmental risk assessment report.

Table 1 below lists the specific requirements that must be contained in each of the three plans as per the NEMA GNR 1147 Appendices 3, 4 and 5, as well as the associated section in this report where each requirement is addressed.

Table 1: NEMA GNR 1147 Appendix 3, 4 and 5 Requirements and Associated Sections Where They Are Addressed

No.	Requirement	Relevant Section
Annual Rehabilitation Plan – Appendix 3		
3 (a)	details of the person or persons that prepared the plan, and timeframes of implementation of the current, and review of the previous rehabilitation activities;	Section 2
3 (b)	the pertinent environmental and project context relating directly to the planned annual rehabilitation and remediation activity;	Section 3.1.1
3 (c)	results of monitoring of risks identified in the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan with a view to informing rehabilitation and remediation activities;	To be confirmed after the first implementation of the Annual Rehabilitation Plan.



No.	Requirement	Relevant Section
3 (d)	an identification of shortcomings experienced in the preceding 12 months;	Section 4
3 (e)	details of the planned annual rehabilitation and remediation activities or measures for the forthcoming 12 months;	Section 4
3 (f)	a review of the previous year's annual rehabilitation and remediation activities;	Section 4
3 (g)	costing;	Section 4
Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan – Appendix 4		
3 (a)	details of the person or persons that prepared the plan;	Section 2
3 (b)	the context of the project, including material information and issues that have guided the development of the plan, an overview of the environmental context, the social context regarding closure activities and post-mining land use, stakeholder issues and comments, and the mine plan and schedule for operations;	Section 3.1
3 (c)	findings of an environmental risk assessment leading to the most appropriate closure strategy;	Section 3.2
3 (d)	design principles, including the legal and governance framework, the closure vision, objectives and targets, alternative closure and post closure options, a motivation for the preferred closure action, details of the closure and post closure period, details associated with any on-going research on closure options, and details of assumptions made to develop closure actions;	Section 3.4
3 (e)	a proposed final post-mining land use;	Section 3.5
3 (f)	closure actions required;	Section 3.6
3 (g)	a schedule of actions for final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure;	Section 3.6
3 (h)	an indication of the organisational capacity that will be put in place to implement the plan, including the organisational structure;	Section 3.8
3 (i)	an indication of gaps in the plan;	Section 3.9
3 (j)	relinquishment criteria for each activity or infrastructure in relation to environmental aspects with auditable indicators;	Section 3.9
3 (k)	the closure cost estimation procedure;	Section 3.11
3 (l)	monitoring, auditing and reporting requirements which relate to the risk assessment, legal requirements and knowledge gaps;	Section 3.12
3 (m)	motivations for any amendments made to the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan, given the monitoring results in the previous auditing period and the identification of gaps as per 2(i).	Section 3.12
Environmental Risk Assessment – Appendix 5		
3 (a)	details of the person or persons that prepared the plan;	Section 2
3 (b)	details of the assessment process used to identify and quantify the latent risks;	Section 5.1



No.	Requirement	Relevant Section
3 (c)	management activities;	Section 5.2
3 (d)	costing;	Section 5.2
3 (e)	monitoring, auditing and reporting requirements.	Section 5.2

2 DETAILS OF THE SPECIALIST

The details of the professionals who contributed to the preparation of the annual rehabilitation plan (ARP), final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan (FRDCP) and environmental risk assessment (ERA) are provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Details of Specialist¹

Name	Role	Qualifications/ Experience	Professional Registrations
GP Kriel	Environmental Scientist / Project Manager / EAP	M.Env.Sci. ~12 years environmental consulting experience.	South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions- Registered Professional Natural Scientist (Environmental Science 400202/09) Water Institute of Southern Africa - Member
Liam Whitlow	Environmental Scientist	BSc Hons Environmental Management. ~18 years environmental consulting experience.	South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions- Registered Professional Natural Scientist (Environmental Science 400148/08)

3 FINAL REHABILITATION, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PLAN (FRDCP)

According to the NEMA GNR 1147 the objective of the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure plan, is to identify a post-prospecting land use that is feasible through-

- a) Providing the vision, objectives, targets and criteria for final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the project;
- b) Outlining the design principles for closure;
- c) Explaining the risk assessment approach and outcomes and link closure activities to risk rehabilitation;
- d) Detailing the closure actions that clearly indicate the measures that will be taken to mitigate and/or manage identified risks and describes the nature of residual risks that will need to be monitored and managed post closure;
- e) Committing to a schedule, budget, roles and responsibilities for final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of each relevant activity or item of infrastructure;
- f) Identifying knowledge gaps and how these will be addressed and filled;
- g) Detailing the full closure costs for the life of project at increasing levels of accuracy as the project develops and approaches closure in line with the final land use proposed; and
- h) Outlining monitoring, auditing and reporting requirements.

¹ According to the 2015 Financial Provisioning Regulations "Specialist" is defined as an independent person or persons who is qualified by virtue of his or her demonstrable knowledge, qualifications, skills or expertise in the mining, environmental, resource economy and financial fields.



This section of the report aims to achieve these objectives.

3.1 PROJECT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

This section aims to provide context and focus attention on the material information and issues that have guided the development of this FRDCP. Further details on the project and environmental context can be obtained from the Basic Assessment Report.

3.1.1 PROJECT CONTEXT

Please refer to the detailed description of the project as provided for in Section 2 of the BAR. The planned prospecting activities, which would require inclusion in the FRDCP are extracted and described in Section 3.1.1.1 to Section 3.1.1.4.

3.1.1.1 LOCATION

The table below indicates the farm portions that fall within the Prospecting Right/ Environmental Authorisation Application Area.

Table 3: Locality Details

Farm Name (s)	Please refer to Table 4 below.
Application Area (Ha)	The area is approximately 180 001 ha (one hundred and eighty thousand and one hectares)
Magisterial District	Kenhardt
Distance and direction from nearest town	The area is located approximately 100 to 170 km South East of the town of Aggeneys and 173 km South West of the town of Upington, Kenhardt District, Northern Cape Province.
21 digit Surveyor General Code for each Portion	Please refer to Table 4 below.



Table 4: Properties within the Application Area

Nr	Registered Land Description	Magisterial District	Extent (Ha)	Title Deed/Diagram Deed	SG Code
1	Farm Loog Kolkjes 195 Portion 4	Kenhardt Rd	1695,541253	T76872/1998CTN	C03600000000019500004
2	Farm Geel Vloer 196 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	6388,716298	T32084/2014CTN	C03600000000019600000
3	Farm Geel Vloer 196 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	6495,72027	T32083/2014CTN	C03600000000019600001
4	Farm Hendrik Zyn Puts 240 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	5937,038478	T69407/2015CTN	C03600000000024000000
5	Farm Hendrik Zyn Puts 240 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	5592,531803	T32299/1996CTN	C03600000000024000003
6	Farm Hendrik Zyn Puts 240 Portion 7	Kenhardt Rd	3069,23921	T4059/1997CTN	C03600000000024000007
7	Farm Brosdoorns Annex 242 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	3779,114231	T96126/2002CTN	C03600000000024200000
8	Farm Brosdoorns Annex 242 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	3723,132824	T96127/2002CTN	C03600000000024200001
9	Farm Brosdoorns Annex 242 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	3792,754523	T41356/1988CTN	C03600000000024200002
10	Farm Brosdoorns Annex 242 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	3758,354959	T93751/2005CTN	C03600000000024200003
11	Farm Dagab 282 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	2895,332837	T107940/2000CTN	C03600000000028200000
12	Farm Dagab 282 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	743,42116	T107940/2000CTN	C03600000000028200002
13	Farm De Paarden Vleyen 283 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	7297,452848	T52179/2003CTN	C03600000000028300000
14	Farm De Paarden Vleyen 283 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	2557,950064	T59522/2008CTN	C03600000000028300002
15	Farm De Paarden Vleyen 283 Portion 3 RE	Kenhardt Rd	7311,383444	T28241/2002CTN	C03600000000028300003
16	Farm De Paarden Vleyen 283 Portion 4	Kenhardt Rd	2,279856	T64504/2010CTN	C03600000000028300004



Nr	Registered Land Description	Magisterial District	Extent (Ha)	Title Deed/Diagram Deed	SG Code
17	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 2 RE	Kenhardt Rd	2767,258409	T73814/1992CTN	C0360000000028400002
18	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 3 RE	Kenhardt Rd	1398,347791	T60270/1989CTN	C0360000000028400003
19	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 4	Kenhardt Rd	2766,353335	T49042/1988CTN	C0360000000028400004
20	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 5	Kenhardt Rd	2779,152907	T73814/1992CTN	C0360000000028400005
21	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 6	Kenhardt Rd	2774,510498	T41357/1988CTN	C0360000000028400006
22	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 7	Kenhardt Rd	2808,393233	T112445/1997CTN	C0360000000028400007
23	Farm Koranna Kolken 284 Portion 8	Kenhardt Rd	1399,755636	T60270/1989CTN	C0360000000028400008
24	Farm Tyger Kolk 286 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	6513,215404	T9930/2016CTN	C0360000000028600001
25	Farm Tierklip 287 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	3824,554934	T63458/1991CTN	C0360000000028700000
26	Farm Tierklip 287 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	4105,883653	T27803/2014CTN	C0360000000028700001
27	Farm Tierklip 287 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	3986,88955	T8698/2012CTN	C0360000000028700002
28	Farm Tierklip 287 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	3864,303082	T63458/1991CTN	C0360000000028700003
29	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	1550,993638	T19766/1966CTN	C0360000000028800000
30	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	1097,405028	T54668/2014CTN	C0360000000028800001
31	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	827,575974	T54668/2014CTN	C0360000000028800002
32	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	2093,705186	T54668/2014CTN	C0360000000028800003
33	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 4	Kenhardt Rd	1208,533245	T54668/2014CTN	C0360000000028800004



Nr	Registered Land Description	Magisterial District	Extent (Ha)	Title Deed/Diagram Deed	SG Code
34	Farm Corgas 288 Portion 5	Kenhardt Rd	1847,942913	T9930/2016CTN	C0360000000028800005
35	Farm Ysis 289 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	3460,975441	T21976/1977CTN	C0360000000028900000
36	Farm Ysis 289 Portion 2 RE	Kenhardt Rd	2516,077576	T14248/1957CTN	C0360000000028900002
37	Farm Ysis 289 Portion 4	Kenhardt Rd	1224,537064	T35410/2007CTN	C0360000000028900004
38	Farm Makkies Plaats 290 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	2567,205047	T49914/1981CTN	C0360000000029000000
39	Farm Makkies Plaats 290 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	4314,771455	T10968/2009CTN	C0360000000029000001
40	Farm Makkies Plaats 290 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	1331,822886	T48093/1983CTN	C0360000000029000002
41	Farm Ramans Kolk 291 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	911,425182	T55001/1984CTN	C0360000000029100003
42	Farm Koic 295 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	5105,389027	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029500000
43	Farm Koic 295 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	4638,917471	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029500001
44	Farm Nanibies 296 Portion 0 RE	Kenhardt Rd	6249,006579	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029600000
45	Farm Nanibies 296 Portion 1 RE	Kenhardt Rd	3117,041098	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029600001
46	Farm Nanibies 296 Portion 2 RE	Kenhardt Rd	1551,574551	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029600002
47	Farm Nanibies 296 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	1575,832314	T91639/1994CTN	C0360000000029600003
48	Farm Schansklip 297 Portion 0	Kenhardt Rd	9892,946319	T6475/1987CTN	C0360000000029700000
49	Farm Zoo Afs Puts 299 Portion 1 RE	Kenhardt Rd	785,885346	T48561/2013CTN	C0360000000029900001
50	Farm Zoo Afs Puts 299 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	2711,26684	T48561/2013CTN	C0360000000029900001



Nr	Registered Land Description	Magisterial District	Extent (Ha)	Title Deed/Diagram Deed	SG Code
51	Farm Zoo Afs Puts 299 Portion 5	Kenhardt Rd	36,442582	T56301/1998CTN	C03600000000029900005
52	Farm Na 327 Portion 2	Kenhardt Rd	21,668427	T61257/1998CTN	C03600000000032700002
53	Farm Na 327 Portion 3	Kenhardt Rd	24,948437	T61258/1998CTN	C03600000000032700003
54	Farm Na 327 Portion 6	Kenhardt Rd	3795,781318	T79044/2008CTN	C03600000000032700006
55	Farm Kabiep 388 Portion 1	Kenhardt Rd	5510,955644	T25847/2009CTN	C03600000000038800001
56	Farm Na 423 Portion 0	Kenhardt Rd	6033,031312	T79045/2008CTN	C03600000000042300000
	Total Area (HA)		180 001		

The prospecting right application boundary is described by the following coordinates.

Table 5: Application Area Boundary Coordinates.

Point ID	Y Coordinate	X Coordinate
1	-29,764365	20,175216
2	-29,764365	20,175216
3	-29,832474	20,239690
4	-29,826419	20,266281
5	-29,868277	20,299181
6	-29,903816	20,253366
7	-29,911967	20,309968
8	-30,012379	20,248164
9	-29,949602	20,151797
10	-29,945280	20,113732
11	-29,859681	20,046327
12	-29,861738	20,028761
13	-29,865574	19,995942
14	-29,832715	19,949176
15	-29,823565	19,955906
16	-29,780988	19,926726
17	-29,763215	19,919821



Point ID	Y Coordinate	X Coordinate
18	-29,746078	19,913433
19	-29,737916	19,910499
20	-29,726522	19,904228
21	-29,703565	19,891295
22	-29,686644	19,882011
23	-29,694446	19,861215
24	-29,654023	19,845181
25	-29,646652	19,859323
26	-29,645277	19,860655
27	-29,600811	19,854323
28	-29,583947	19,831801
29	-29,586471	19,794341
30	-29,584994	19,793830
31	-29,557678	19,804176
32	-29,550892	19,879505
33	-29,597036	19,912888
34	-29,629472	19,948632
35	-29,630131	19,949358
36	-29,633568	19,982837
37	-29,636221	20,007364
38	-29,642646	20,064896
39	-29,643296	20,070938
40	-29,643296	20,070938
41	-29,618112	20,071162
42	-29,578694	20,070387
43	-29,575263	20,085160
44	-29,545241	20,079165
45	-29,532798	20,057802
46	-29,528897	20,049528
47	-29,526226	20,039654
48	-29,453679	20,056140
49	-29,433432	20,104663
50	-29,419375	20,139358



Point ID	Y Coordinate	X Coordinate
51	-29,419366	20,139381
52	-29,419303	20,139531
53	-29,419425	20,139598
54	-29,512039	20,206804
55	-29,516150	20,209430
56	-29,523946	20,209589
57	-29,530205	20,226394
58	-29,542137	20,227398
59	-29,555285	20,233638
60	-29,552177	20,252906
61	-29,565492	20,266569
62	-29,547053	20,324435
63	-29,544441	20,334758
64	-29,544441	20,334758
65	-29,591086	20,353573
66	-29,602724	20,358368
67	-29,612222	20,361918
68	-29,603751	20,385831
69	-29,615832	20,436841
70	-29,659498	20,472004
71	-29,666707	20,477772
72	-29,687892	20,494723
73	-29,703670	20,462985
74	-29,693876	20,426303
75	-29,702570	20,410320
76	-29,745833	20,420567
77	-29,746117	20,420140
78	-29,748819	20,416011
79	-29,750104	20,413885
80	-29,751467	20,411823
81	-29,752921	20,409845
82	-29,754197	20,407695
83	-29,755224	20,405572



Point ID	Y Coordinate	X Coordinate
84	-29,756043	20,403523
85	-29,756899	20,401152
86	-29,757700	20,398857
87	-29,758489	20,396314
88	-29,759198	20,394284
89	-29,759882	20,392277
90	-29,760753	20,390307
91	-29,762007	20,388136
92	-29,763060	20,386682
93	-29,763862	20,385730
94	-29,765215	20,383635
95	-29,766125	20,382851
96	-29,767855	20,381375
97	-29,769317	20,380123
98	-29,769331	20,380059
99	-29,767686	20,377518
100	-29,767878	20,377606
101	-29,768831	20,379067
102	-29,769403	20,380049
103	-29,769620	20,379863
104	-29,768976	20,378944
105	-29,768193	20,377743
106	-29,768487	20,377865
107	-29,768414	20,377927
108	-29,769671	20,379768
109	-29,769736	20,379764
110	-29,770888	20,378777
111	-29,788394	20,363825
112	-29,789296	20,363051
113	-29,792535	20,360336
114	-29,793798	20,358382
115	-29,776144	20,349014
116	-29,753965	20,350741



Point ID	Y Coordinate	X Coordinate
117	-29,734432	20,351686
118	-29,742688	20,297780
119	-29,743533	20,291652
120	-29,748059	20,261345
121	-29,754778	20,216140
122	-29,756459	20,204899
123	-29,757714	20,196604
124	-29,764365	20,175216
125	-29,764365	20,175216
126	-29,764365	20,175216




Figure 1: Locality Map



3.1.1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITIES

Both non-invasive and invasive prospecting activities will be undertaken as part of the proposed Prospecting Work Programme (PWP). The application will follow a phased approach, where the prospecting work program is divided into several sequential phases.

Figure 1 above depicts the proposed prospecting area, the proposed areas of interest within the application area will be defined within the course of prospecting activities. It is anticipated that the invasive program will consist of 10 boreholes with a footprint of approximately 300 m² each. Vegetation will be cleared at the borehole locations within the application area. Minor access tracks will be created to access the proposed borehole sites where there are no existing roads. The total length of the access routes is anticipated to be 5 000 m and the approximate width is 3 m.

At the end of each phase there will be a brief period of compiling and evaluating results. The results will not only determine whether prospecting proceeds, but also the manner in which it will go forward. The applicant will only action the next phase of prospecting, once satisfied with the results obtained in the previous phases. In addition, smaller, non-core parts of the prospecting work program will be undertaken, if warranted. A description of the planned invasive and non-invasive activities is detailed below.

DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED NON-INVASIVE ACTIVITIES

These activities do not disturb the land where prospecting will take place e.g. aerial photography, desktop studies, aeromagnetic surveys, etc.

Phase 1: Desktop study

- Compilation of historical prospecting data;
- Analysis of existing data and maps to further understand prospecting area structure & geology; and
- Initial targeting and ranking of prospective areas

Phase 2: Regional Airborne Geophysical Survey

- Airborne Gravity survey at 1 km flight line spacing
- Airborne Magnetics and Radiometrics at 100 m flight line spacing
- Airborne EM at 200m flight line spacing.

Phase 3: Semi-Regional Geophysical Survey (ground based)

The field mapping will be focused on potentially prospective areas (Bushmanland Group rocks) to improve understanding of the structure & geology in order to define targets for ground-based geophysics as well as to be able to interpret geophysical results. Geological mapping will be on a scale suitable for the observed geological variability and will be conducted by an in-house well-trained and highly experienced geologist. During the geological field mapping activity soil and litho-sampling along with analysis (XRF & or assaying) may be conducted to determine prospective horizons.

The primary ground-based geophysical technique that will be employed will be time-domain electromagnetics (TDEM) utilizing a new state-of-the-art SQUID electromagnetic sensor. Existing airborne EM and aeromagnetic coverage will guide the ground follow-up strategy. Additional techniques, such as controlled source audio magnetotellurics (CSAMT) and direct current resistivity / induced polarization, might be employed over prospective targets. Please refer to Section 6 of the PWP for further details on these methods. No bulk sampling work is to be carried out during this prospecting program.

Initial prospecting will be carried out by the company itself, utilizing its own in-house geologists to conduct and oversee the work. Drilling will be outsourced to a local drilling company.

DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED INVASIVE ACTIVITIES

These activities result in land disturbances e.g. sampling, drilling, etc.



a) Drilling

The targeting of all drilling activities will be dependent on the results obtained during the preceding phases of prospecting, namely the geological mapping and geophysical surveying.

Diamond drilling will be of the standard HQ or NQ size. Down hole surveys will be done every 50m in each hole. Core will be marked, logged, photographed and sampled according to the standard of the applicants logging and sampling procedures.

Down the hole geophysical surveying will take place upon completion of the exploratory boreholes along with Ground EM surveys to determine positions of conductors.

Rehabilitation of drill sites will be done according to an approved Environmental Management Programme.

Percussion Rotary Air Blast (RAB) drilling may be carried out for pre-collaring of diamond drill boreholes or for obtaining samples if significant depth of cover is encountered over particular targets.

b) Assaying

Rock chip / soil samples will be sent to a laboratory of the applicant's choice to be crushed, split, pulverized and assayed. Samples from core will be split using a core cutter before being sent to the laboratory for analysis.

c) Metallurgical Test Work

Metallurgical test work would start during phase 7 of the prospecting work programme. These tests will be done by and in consultation with a preferred and accredited Laboratory of the applicant's choice.

Phase 4: Boreholes

The initial planned invasive prospecting activities will consist of diamond drill boreholes drilled to appropriate depths to target any anomalies identified during Phases 2 & 3 of the non-invasive portion of the prospecting work plan. The work will consist of:

- Access and drill site preparation
- Diamond core drilling
- Sampling and assaying
- Quality assurance and quality control programs
- Down hole geophysics
- Rehabilitation of drill sites
- Recording & Integration of data

Phase 7: Boreholes

This phase of boreholes would determine the continuity of mineralization & potential deposit size. The work will consist of:

- Access and drill site preparation
- Widely spaced diamond drilling and analyses to confirm grade / tonnage potential
- Sampling and assaying
- Quality assurance and quality control programs
- Metallurgical test work
- Rehabilitation of drill sites
- Recording & Integration of data

Phase 8: Boreholes



This phase of boreholes would provide enough information to be able to calculate an inferred resource. The work would consist of:

- Access and drill site preparation
- Close spaced infill diamond drilling and analyses to determine actual grade / tonnage
- Sampling and assaying
- Quality assurance and quality control programs
- Metallurgical test work
- Geotechnical drilling program
- Rehabilitation of drill sites
- Recording & Integration of data

DESCRIPTION OF PRE/FEASIBILITY STUDIES

Activities in this section includes but are not limited to initial geological modelling, resource determination, possible future funding models, etc.

Phase 5: Compilation, interpretation and modelling of data

This phase will focus on compiling all the data gathered to date along with 3D modelling of any mineralized intersections. Any positively mineralized targets will be ranked. Should Phase 5 confirm mineralization with economic potential, then that target will advance to Phase 6.

Phase 9: Desktop Pre-Feasibility Study

This phase is designed to utilize the inferred resource to determine and would include:

- Closely spaced diamond drilling (Phase 8)
- 3D-modelling of the mineralized ore body
- Resource estimation
- A risk assessment to calculate if a full feasibility study is warranted
- Risk assessment studies

Table 6: Project Phases

Phase	Activity	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Phase 1 (Month 1-12)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Desktop Study: Literature Survey / Review / acquisition of data	X				
Phase 2 (Month 6-12)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Regional Airborne Geophysical Survey	X				
Phase 3 (Month 12-24)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u>		X			



Phase	Activity	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
	Ground Geophysical Survey and Geological Field Mapping					
Phase 4 (Month 24-34)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Exploration Boreholes			X		
Phase 5 (Month 34-36)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Compilation, interpretation and modelling of data			X		
Phase 6 (Month 36-42)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Detailed Ground Geophysical Survey on individual positively mineralized targets to define possible extent				X	
Phase 7 (Month 42-48)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Boreholes to confirm continuity of mineralization & potential deposit size				X	
Phase 8 (Month 48-60)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Resource definition drilling					X
Phase 9 (Month 54-60)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Analytical Desktop Pre-Feasibility Study					X

3.1.1.3 LISTED AND SPECIFIED ACTIVITIES

Table 7: Listed and Specified Activities.

Name of Activity	Aerial extent of the Activity	Listed Activity	Applicable Listing Notice	Waste Management Authorisation
Activities directly related to prospecting of a mineral resource, including the operation of that activity which requires a prospecting right in terms	180 001 ha	X	GNR 983 Activity 20	N/A



Name of Activity	Aerial extent of the Activity	Listed Activity	Applicable Listing Notice	Waste Management Authorisation
of section 16 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002), including associated infrastructure, structures and earthworks.				
Desktop Study: Literature Survey / Review / acquisition of data	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Regional Airborne Geophysical Surveys	180 001 ha	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ground Geophysical Surveys and Geological Field Mapping	180 001 ha	N/A	N/A	N/A
Target Exploration Boreholes: 10 drill sites, each site covering a total area of 300 m ²	3000 m ² (0.3 ha)	X	GNR 983 Activity 20 GNR 985 Activity 12 g ii	N/A
Data Compilation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Detailed Ground Geophysical Surveys	180 001 ha	N/A	N/A	
Environmental Screening by ECO	180 001 ha	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ablutions - Chemical Toilets	5 m ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
Temporary Fuel storage	5 m ² less than 80 cubic metres	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sample storage (Existing BMM exploration office. No new infrastructure to be constructed)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Access Route (Mostly existing roads to be utilised. Access tracks will be made where there are no existing routes.) Approximate total length : 5000 m Approximate width: 3m)	15000 m ² (1.5 ha)	X	GNR 985 Activity 12 g ii	N/A
Temporary general waste storage (General/domestic waste - Wheelie bin)	1m ² less than 100 cubic metres	N/A	N/A	N/A
Temporary hazardous waste storage (Hazardous waste – Sealed Wheelie bin)	1m ² less than 30 cubic metres	N/A	N/A	N/A
Compilation of geological plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Undertake rehabilitation of drill sites as per the rehabilitation plan (Drill sites + Access tracks)	18000 m ² (1.8 ha)	N/A	N/A	N/A



Name of Activity	Aerial extent of the Activity	Listed Activity	Applicable Listing Notice	Waste Management Authorisation
Monitoring of rehabilitation efforts	18000 m ² (1.8 ha)	N/A	N/A	N/A

3.1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT

The description and definition of the pre-prospecting environmental context is critical to ensure that the ultimate closure objectives and associated end land-use are achieved. In this regard please refer to Section 6 of the BAR for a detailed description of the receiving environment applicable to this specific project. Based on the description of the receiving environmental and social context, this FRDCP is based on the understanding that no prospecting activities will be undertaken within the following constraint areas:

- Watercourses and Wetlands;
- Heritage sites or features;
- Existing servitudes for powerlines; and
- Residential Areas.

The description of the baseline environment (on site and surrounding) was obtained from the studies undertaken by the specialist team and in conjunction with EIMS. All specialist studies undertaken for the proposed project are included as supporting technical appendices to the BAR. The key environmental aspects related to the application area are summarised in the remainder of this Section.

3.1.2.1 TOPOGRAPHY

The area is located approximately 75 km South of the town of Pofadder, Northern Cape, South Africa. It covers 56 farms, over an area of 180 001 ha. The topography is mainly flat with gently rolling hills and a low elevation gain/ loss ratio. The central and western parts of the Tierklip Area are the highest with altitudes in the order of 1000 mamsl, which drops down to around 920 mamsl to the north and southeast. As can be seen in Figure 2 below, the average elevation is approximately 952 m. Minor, non-perennial drainages can be found and the area is also characterised by several salt pans, the largest of these occurring in the northern section.




Figure 2: Elevation profile of the proposed sites.




Figure 3: Extract of the 1: 250 000 SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity Map (Tierklip Application = Area 4)




Figure 4: Geology of the application area.




Figure 5: Vegetation types based on the Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho & Swaziland (BGIS, 2018).




Figure 6: Watercourses identified within the application area




Figure 7: Northern Cape Conservation Plan.




Figure 8: Ecosystem Threat Status




Figure 9: Land Cover




Figure 10: Ecosystem Protection Level




Figure 11: Important areas in relation to the project area.




Figure 12: Heritage sensitivity (PGS, 2019)



3.1.2.2 CLIMATE

The overall climate within the Namaqualand region is determined mainly by the southern subtropical high-pressure system and the circumpolar westerly airstream. It is classified as a semi-arid winter rainfall region with a mean annual precipitation of between 50 mm in the north-west to 400 mm in the Kamiesberg. 60 % of rainfall within the region occurs between May and September due to the cold, westerly fronts from the ocean. This area is prone to lengthy droughts which can span over a few years (Davis et al., 2016).

The cold Benguela Current of the west coast of Namaqualand results in relatively mild temperatures year out in the Namaqualand region ranging from between 13 °C and 21 °C. Only when Berg winds blow of the plateau towards the west do maximum temperatures exceed 30 °C which contribute greatly to the high evaporative demand in Namaqualand. Frost does occur in high lying areas of the escarpment and central plateau (Davis et al., 2016).

3.1.2.3 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The terrain consists of flat lying plains with Cenozoic and Karoo-aged sediments overlying the Dwyka Group and Prince Albert Formation consisting of tillite, diamictite, sandstone and dolomitic limestone. The southern, eastern and western parts are further characterised by large dolerite intrusions. Three dolerite dykes intruded the sedimentary rocks in the north-western parts of the area. Alluvium and sand are present in the central parts of the area. See Figure 4 for a representation of the areas geology.

3.1.2.4 HYDROGEOLOGY

According to Vegter, et al. (1995), a small portion in the western parts of the area have a low groundwater potential of 10 – 20 % with the remainder of the area having a groundwater potential of 20 – 30 %. These percentages indicate the probability of drilling a successful borehole (yield > 2 L/s). The expected Average Groundwater Exploitation Potential (AGEP) in the Tierklip area is < 2500 m³/km²/annum.

Based on the Aquifer Classification Map (Vegter et al., 1995), the aquifer is classified as a minor aquifer region – therefore being a moderately yielding aquifer system of variable water quality. These aquifers can be fractured or potentially fractured rocks which do not have a high permeability, or other formations with variable permeability.

The Tierklip Area falls entirely within the Lower Orange WMA and has an estimated 30 – 60 % dependency of groundwater (i.e. domestic use, irrigation, stock watering, bulk supply, mining). The majority of the Tierklip Area falls within D57D and D53G quaternary catchments which, according to the Ecological Water Requirements (EWR) report (DWS, 2016), is described as “poor groundwater quality from marine sediments”. Two small portions of the Tierklip Area fall within catchments D53F and D53D, both also described as “poor water quality”.

3.1.2.4.1 EXISTING GROUNDWATER USERS

- A data search on the National Groundwater Archive (NGA) revealed 141 existing boreholes, of which:
- 77 boreholes had water level data – the average groundwater level was 17.26 mbgl;
- 73 boreholes had recorded yields - the average yield being 0.85 L/s and the maximum recorded yield 10.24 L/s;
- 141 boreholes had recorded boreholes depths – the average depth being 54 mbgl and the deepest 158 mbgl; and
- 82 boreholes had recorded water strike depths – the average strike depth being 33 mbgl and the maximum strike depth 83 mbgl.

The data that was obtained from the NGA however dates back to 1939, with the most recent data being from 1997. Therefore, the information does not necessarily reflect the current number of boreholes and current use.

3.1.2.4.2 FUTURE GROUNDWATER USERS

The drivers for future groundwater development usually include the following:



- Existing boreholes that dry up;
- Increase in groundwater demand (e.g. population growth, economic growth, agricultural growth);
- Insecurity of bulk water supplies;
- Surface water shortages (as result of global warming, increased demand); and
- Prolonged droughts.

3.1.2.4.3 GROUNDWATER AS A NATURAL RESOURCE

Groundwater falls under the protection of the NWA and may not be polluted.

3.1.2.5 LAND COVER

The Tierklip application area is dominated by bare, none vegetated land with some low shrubland in the north, east and south of the area. Some woodland, bush and thicket intercede the shrubland to the north of the application area. Very limited cultivation can be found across the application area. The land cover can be seen in Figure 9.

3.1.2.6 ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION LEVEL

Ecosystem protection level tells us whether ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as not protected, poorly protected, moderately protected or well protected, based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that occurs within a protected area recognised in the Protected Areas Act (Driver et al., 2011).

The prospecting area was superimposed on the ecosystem protection level map to assess the protection status of terrestrial ecosystems associated with the development (Figure 10). Based on this, the terrestrial ecosystems associated with the proposed prospecting area is rated as not protected. This means that these ecosystem types (and associated habitats) are not protected anywhere in the country (such as in nationally protected areas).

3.1.2.7 RAMSAR SITES & WORLD HERITAGE SITES

There are no Ramsar sites or World heritage sites within the application area.

3.1.2.8 TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS

Flora

The prospecting area is situated mainly in the Succulent Karoo biome, with small sections in the southern part of the prospecting area found in the Nama Karoo and Azonal vegetation biomes. The prospecting area is situated across three vegetation types as seen in **Error! Reference source not found.** Bushmanland Arid Grassland, Bushmanland Basin Shrubland and Bushmanland Vloere, according to Mucina and Rutherford (2006). Majority of the prospecting area fall across the Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Basin Shrubland. According to Mucina and Rutherford (2006), these vegetation types are classified as Least Threatened. Based on the Plants of Southern Africa (BODATSA-POSA, 2016) database, 599 plant species are expected to occur in the prospecting area. Of the 599-plant species, three (3) species are listed as being Species of Conservation Concern (SCC). These species are *Aloidendron dichotomum*, *Calobota lotononoides* and *Conophytum achabense*. These are described in Section 8 of the Ecological Specialist Report (Appendix F).

Table 8: Plant Species of Conservation Concern expected to occur in the prospecting area (BODATSA-POSA, 2016)

Family	Taxon	Author	IUCN	Endemic	Likelihood of Occurrence
Asphodelaceae	<i>Aloidendron dichotomum</i>	(Masson) Klopper & Gideon F.Sm.	VU	Indigenous; Endemic	Moderate
Fabaceae	<i>Calobota lotononoides</i>	(Schltr.) Boatwr. & B.-E.van Wyk	NT	Indigenous; Endemic	Moderate
Aizoaceae	<i>Conophytum achabense</i>	S.A.Hammer	VU	Indigenous; Endemic	High



Fauna

Avifauna

Based on the South African Bird Atlas Project, Version 2 (SABAP2) database, 133 bird species are expected to occur in the vicinity of the prospecting area. Of the expected bird species, twelve (12) species are listed as SCC either on a regional scale or international scale (Table 9). The SCC include the following:

- Two (2) species that are listed as EN on a regional basis;
- Five (5) species that are listed as VU on a regional basis; and
- Four (4) species that are listed as NT on a regional basis.

Table 9: List of bird species of regional or global conservation importance that are expected to occur in the pendants mentioned above (SABAP2, 2019, ESKOM, 2015; IUCN, 2017)

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)	
<i>Afrotis afra</i>	Korhaan, Southern Black	VU	VU	High
<i>Aquila verreauxii</i>	Eagle, Verreaux's	VU	LC	Low
<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Bustard, Kori	NT	NT	High
<i>Calendulauda burra</i>	Lark, Red	VU	VU	High
<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	Sandpiper, Curlew	LC	NT	Low
<i>Cursorius rufus</i>	Cursorer, Burchell's	VU	LC	Moderate
<i>Eupodotis vigorsii</i>	Korhaan, Karoo	NT	LC	High
<i>Falco biarmicus</i>	Falcon, Lanner	VU	LC	High
<i>Neotis ludwigii</i>	Bustard, Ludwig's	EN	EN	High
<i>Oxyura maccoa</i>	Duck, Maccoa	NT	NT	Low
<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Eagle, Martial	EN	VU	High
<i>Spizocorys sclateri</i>	Lark, Sclater's	NT	NT	High

Mammals

The IUCN Red List Spatial Data (IUCN, 2017) lists 56 mammal species that could be expected to occur within the vicinity of the prospecting area. Of these species, 4 are medium to large conservation dependant species. Of the remaining 52 small to medium sized mammal species, five (5) are listed as being of conservation concern on a regional or global basis (Table 10).

The list of potential species includes:

- One (1) that is listed as LC on a regional scale
- Two (2) that are listed as VU on a regional basis; and
- Two (2) that are listed as NT on a regional scale (Table 10).

Table 10: List of mammal species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area as well as their global and regional conservation statuses (IUCN, 2017; SANBI, 2016)

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)	
<i>Eidolon helvum</i>	African Straw-colored Fruit Bat	LC	NT	Low
<i>Felis nigripes</i>	Black-footed Cat	VU	VU	High
<i>Graphiurus ocellatus</i>	Spectacular Dormouse	NT	LC	Low
<i>Panthera pardus</i>	Leopard	VU	VU	Low



<i>Parotomys littledalei</i>	Littledale's Whistling Rat	NT	LC	High
------------------------------	----------------------------	----	----	------

Reptiles

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data (IUCN, 2017) and the ReptileMap database provided by the Animal Demography Unit (ADU, 2017) 47 reptile species are expected to occur in the prospecting area. Two (2) reptile SCC are expected to be present in the prospecting area (Table 11).

Table 11: Expected reptile species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)	
<i>Chersobius signatus</i>	Speckled Dwarf Tortoise	EN	EN	High
<i>Psammobates tentorius verroxii</i>	Tent Tortoise	NT	NT	High

Amphibians

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data (IUCN, 2017) and the AmphibianMap database provided by the Animal Demography Unit (ADU, 2017) thirteen (13) amphibian species are expected to occur in the prospecting area.

One amphibian SCCs could be present in the prospecting area according to the above-mentioned sources as seen in Table 12.

Table 12: Expected amphibian species of conservation concern that may occur in the prospecting area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)	
<i>Pyxicephalus adspersus</i>	Giant Bullfrog	NT	LC	Moderate

3.1.2.8.1 ECOSYSTEM THREAT STATUS

Ecosystem threat status outlines the degree to which ecosystems are still intact or alternatively losing vital aspects of their structure, function and composition, on which their ability to provide ecosystem services ultimately depends (Driver et al., 2011).

Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Least Threatened (LT), based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition (Driver et al., 2011).

The prospecting area was superimposed on the terrestrial ecosystem threat status (Figure 8). As seen in this figure the area falls across one ecosystem which is listed as LT (TBC, 2019). The project area overlaps entirely with ecosystems that are listed as Least threatened).

3.1.2.8.2 CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS (CBA)

The prospecting area falls almost completely in an area classified as Other Natural Areas (ONA) (Figure 7), with Ecological Support Areas (ESA) portions scattered throughout the property, while CBA1 and CBA2 areas can be found in the south of the property. ONAs consist of all those areas in good or fair ecological condition that fall outside the protected area network and have not been identified as CBAs or ESAs. Please refer to the specialist reports for more detail regarding the CBAs.

3.1.2.9 WATERCOURSES

Figure 6 indicates the watercourses and NFEPA wetlands with a 500 m buffer around them. No true FEPA rivers are found in the prospecting area. True FEPA wetlands can be found in the southern section of the project area. The inland water shapefile (DLA-CDSM, 2007) shows various water sources, including dams, lakes, rivers, streams, pans, mudflats, pools, marshvlei and swamps all these are classified as natural water bodies. Artificial water bodies that could occur in the project area are dams, fish farms, reservoirs, sewage works, water tanks,



and purification plants (Nel, 2011). Mostly natural waterbodies can be seen throughout the prospecting area, with one larger artificial waterbody in the centre of the area.

3.1.2.10 ASTRONOMY GEOGRAPHICAL ADVANTAGE AREAS

A small part of the application area falls within the Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area 1 (Figure 11), the rest falls within Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area 2. It is understood that there are limitations on the radio frequencies and activities within this area, that could affect the SKA activities.

It is further understood that all electrical and electromagnetic (EM) geophysical techniques that will be employed for mineral exploration fall well below the frequencies stated in the Regulations on the Protection of the Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Areas in Terms of the Astronomy Geographic Advantage Act (Act No. 21 of 2007). Higher frequencies don't penetrate the earth and is not used in EM induction or electrical techniques.

For time-domain EM the proposal is to inject current in a transmitter loop (either in the air or on the ground). The current will then be switched off and the secondary EM fields induced in the nearby subsurface will be measured. These fields can take up to 1 second to decay. Attempts are made to switch the current off quickly to generate higher frequency content to image the near surface well. The highest frequencies, however, are well below the MHz Range, as detailed above.

For electrical techniques it is proposed to effectively transmit a DC current between 2 electrodes. The corresponding voltage difference measured with electrodes at the surface of the earth is then used to calculate an apparent resistivity of the subsurface.

In the Induced polarisation method the DC current is switched off. The presence of polarisable and conductive material is mapped if the current doesn't directly decay to zero. In the Magnetotelluric method, measurements of the passive (natural) EM fields generated by telluric and lightning activity are made. Occasionally a source / transmitter is used, but typically transmit below 1 kHz.

The applicant has requested a Risk Assessment for the proposed activities within the Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area 1.

3.1.2.11 CULTURAL, HERITAGE, ARCHAEOLOGY AND PALAEOLOGY

The desktop heritage impact assessment identified various potential heritage resources within the study area, including burial grounds and graves, historical structures, palaeontological resources and archaeological resources that could be impacted during invasive prospecting activities.

3.1.2.11.1 ARCHAEOLOGY

Previous studies conducted in the surroundings of the study area have identified a number of archaeological sites. These include Stone Age (ESA, MSA and LSA) sites including find spots, surface scatters and rock art sites.

The impact of the proposed project on potential archaeological resources is rated as MODERATE negative significance before mitigation and with the implementation of the mitigation measures the impact significance is reduced to LOW negative.

When physical prospecting is planned an archaeologist must first visit and assess the areas of impact and make recommendations on any finds made. In the event that archaeological artefacts are discovered during any phase of the proposed prospecting activities, the Chance Find Protocol must be implemented by the ECO in charge of these developments.

3.1.2.11.2 CULTURAL AND HERITAGE

No burial grounds or graves are depicted on the historical topographic maps for the study area. However, it is possible that unknown burial grounds and graves are present. The impact of the proposed activities on burial grounds and graves is rated as LOW negative significance before mitigation, and with the implementation of the required mitigation measures the post-mitigation impact would remain LOW negative.



The impact of the proposed prospecting activities on potential historical structures is rated as MODERATE negative significance before mitigation and with the implementation of the mitigation measures the impact significance is reduced to LOW negative.

3.1.2.11.3 PALAEOLOGY

The proposed prospecting application area falls mainly in the Dwyka Group and the Prins Albert Formation with isolated areas of Karoo Dolerite and Vaalhoek Granite.

According to the Palaeo sensitivity Map available on the South African Heritage Resources Information System database (SAHRIS), the Palaeontological Sensitivity of the Kalahari Group is rated as Low. The igneous rocks of the Bushmanland and Karoo Dolerite is Insignificant or Zero while the Ecca sediments of the Karoo Supergroup have a Medium Paleontological Sensitivity.

In the absence of mitigation procedures (should fossil material be present within the affected area) the damage or destruction of any palaeontological materials will be permanent. The impact of the proposed activities on palaeontological resources is rated as MODERATE negative significance before mitigation and with the implementation of the mitigation measures the impact significance is reduced to LOW negative.

In the event that fossil remains are discovered during any phase of the proposed prospecting activities, the Chance Find Protocol must be implemented by the ECO in charge of these developments.

3.1.2.12 DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT LAND USES

The Prospecting application area is located close to the Aggeneys - Gamsberg base metal mines. The proposed properties are situated mainly south of the R358 tar road from Pofadder to Nuwerus. Several farm roads and servitude gravel roads cross these properties. Existing power lines are also situated across these properties.

The properties are expected to be previously largely undisturbed and mainly used for grazing of sheep and cattle. Existing farm infrastructure such as windmills, boreholes, fencing and livestock pens are expected to be sparsely dotted across the properties. Only a few tracks or roads cross these properties (PGS, 2019).

3.1.2.13 DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ON SITE

The most notable infrastructure located within the application area includes the following:

- Roads;
- Empty houses and Windmills;
- Boreholes;
- Fencing; and
- Livestock pens.

3.1.2.14 SOCIO-ECONOMIC

The proposed Tierklip Prospecting Project will be situated on the properties listed in Table 4. The area is located approximately 100 to 170 km South East of the town of Aggeneys and 173 km South West of the town of Upington. The application area is split between the Namakwa and ZF Mgawu District Municipalities (DMs) and falls within both the Hantam and Kai !Garib Local Municipalities (LMs) within the Kenhardt Magisterial District, Northern Cape Province. The prospecting area falls within ward 3 of the Hantam LM and Ward 9 of the Kai !Garib LM.

The Hantam LM is the largest of six in the Namakwa DM with an area of 39 085 km². Farming is the main economic driver in this municipality although approximately 70 % of the population work and live within the towns of Calvinia, Brandvlei, Loeriesfontein, Middelpoos, Nieuwoudtville and Onderste Doorns. Farming in the area is dominated by sheep, wool, Lucerne and rooibos tea (municipalities.co.za).

Kai !Garib LM is situated along the Orange Rivers, sharing borders with the Dawid Kruiper LM to the north-east and Namibia in the north-west. This is the second largest of five LMs in the ZF Mgawu DM with an area of 26 377



km2. As with Hantam LM, the agriculture sector is the main economic contributor in this LM. The municipality is characterised through its unique landscape features namely the Orange River and Kalahari Desert. The towns situated here are Eksteenkuil, Kakamas, Keimoes and Kenhardt (municipalities, 2019).

According to STATSSA, 2011, the Kai !Garib and ZF Mgcawu Local Municipalities have a combined total population of 87447 people, of which 72.2 % are coloured, 16.35 % are black African, and 9.2 % are white. Other groups make up 1.45 % of the population. Of those aged 20 years and older 8.55% completed primary schooling, 17.15 % completed Grade 12/matric, 34.85 % have some secondary schooling, 6% have some higher education, and 11.7 % of these municipalities have no schooling.

The main economic activities, although not extensive, within these Local Municipalities are agriculture and mining. Agricultural activities are dominated by livestock and poultry farming. Of the 38034 economically active people (employed and unemployed but looking for work), 10 % are unemployed and 1385 are classified as discouraged work-seekers.

Combined there are 23043 households in these municipalities, with an average household size of 3.1 persons per household. Of the households in the municipality, 50.4 % have access to piped (tap) water inside the dwelling/institution.

The education levels in these municipalities are low. Only about half of the population over 20 years old within the District have some secondary education or obtained their Grade 12 qualification.

3.1.3 STAKEHOLDER ISSUES AND COMMENTS

A public participation process as required by the NEMA 2014 EIA regulations will be undertaken for the proposed prospecting activities. In this regard please refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix B of the BAR for a comprehensive record of the process followed and comments received. Once comments have been received, an extract from the Comments and Responses Report (CRR) which relate to final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure activities will be provided in this section. The comments and issues raised through the public participation will be considered and inform the compilation of this FRDCP.

3.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Section 8 of the BAR provides a detailed description of the environmental impact/risk identification and assessment (including the methodology and findings) undertaken for the proposed prospecting activity. This risk assessment assesses each identified environmental impact by considering the consequence of each impact (comprising Nature, Extent, Duration, Magnitude, and Reversibility) and relate this to the probability/likelihood of the impact occurring. The BAR further considers other factors, including cumulative impacts, public concern, and potential for irreplaceable loss of resources, to determine a prioritisation factor (PF) which is applied to the Environmental Risk to determine the overall significance.

Table 13 lists the environmental impacts and risks identified and assessed in the EIA/ Basic Assessment, which relate to final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the prospecting activity. The EMPr addresses the management and mitigation of environmental impacts associated with the preceding phases whilst the annual environmental rehabilitation plan (to be prepared and reviewed annually) will provide for the planning and financial provisioning for the concurrent and progressive rehabilitation and remediation activities.

The applicable conceptual closure strategy to avoid, manage and mitigate the impacts and risks are also included in Table 13 together with the re-assessment of the environmental risk. The environmental risk assessment of the impacts associated with final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure will inform the most appropriate closure strategy for the prospecting activity. It is expected that, in most cases, if all the management and mitigation measures identified in the EIA/ Basic Assessment and EMPr are adhered to and successfully implemented, then no latent or residual environmental impacts will remain. Impacts that are classified as high risk post-mitigation will be considered as latent environmental impacts and financial provision will be provided to remediate these specific impacts. Please see Section 5 for further details.



Table 13: Impact Assessment for Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure.

NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
Site Clearance and establishment	Displacement of faunal community (including possible threatened or protected species) due to habitat loss, disturbance (noise, dust and vibration) and/or direct mortalities;	Prospecting areas	Construction Operation Decommissioning	-10,50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All personnel and contractors to undergo Environmental Awareness Training. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof. Discussions are required on sensitive environmental receptors within the prospecting area to inform contractors and site staff of the presence of Red / Orange List species, their identification, conservation status and importance, biology, habitat requirements and management requirements; Prospecting site footprints should be kept to a minimum; Schedule prospecting activities and operations during least sensitive periods, in order to avoid migration, nesting and breeding seasons of SCC; 	-8,75	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearing of vegetation should be minimized and avoided where possible. Maintain small patches of natural vegetation within the prospecting site to accelerate restoration and succession of cleared patches; • When vegetation is cleared, hand cutting techniques should be used as far possible in order to avoid the use of heavy machinery; • Construction vehicles must be restricted to existing roads and new pathways must be restricted; • Prior and during vegetation clearance any larger fauna species noted should be given the opportunity to move away from the construction machinery • Implement alien vegetation management; • Herpetofauna observed while rock sampling, should be carefully and 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>safely removed to a suitable location beyond the extent of the development footprint by a suitably qualified environmental control officer (ECO) trained in the handling and relocation of animals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A qualified ECO must be on site when construction begins to identify species that will be directly disturbed and to relocate fauna/flora that are found during the prospecting activities.• No trapping, killing or poisoning of any wildlife is to be allowed on site, including snakes, birds, lizards, frogs, insects or mammals.• Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas existing in the prospecting area must be made a priority. Topsoil must also be utilised, and any disturbed area must be re-vegetated with plant and grass species which		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					are endemic to this vegetation type.		
	Encroachment by alien invasive plant species	Prospecting areas	Construction Operation Rehabilitation	-7.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearing of vegetation should be minimized and avoided where possible. Maintain small patches of natural vegetation within the prospecting site to accelerate restoration and succession of cleared patches; • Use of indigenous species for rehabilitation • Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas existing in the prospecting area must be made a priority. Topsoil must also be utilised, and any disturbed area must be re-vegetated with plant and grass species which are endemic to this vegetation type; • Rehabilitation efforts must be monitored by a suitably qualified ECO until adequate vegetation cover is achieved. 	-4,50	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
Target Prospecting Boreholes & Widely Spaced Boreholes	Impacts on surface water features	Drilling	Construction Operation Decommissioning	-6.50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No invasive prospecting activities to be undertaken within 150m of a watercourse. To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on any existing groundwater user (i.e. boreholes) and surface water that may be linked to groundwater, a detailed hydrocensus, followed by a geohydrological assessment would be required for the exploration area. The geohydrological report must include a risk assessment (source-pathway-receptor) of every drill site with nearby boreholes / springs and surface water features in mind. Should any watercourse be affected, then the necessary water use licences should be obtained from the Department of Water and Sanitation. 	-6.75	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No abluion of site laydown areas are to be located within 150m of a watercourse. Any possible contamination of watercourses by hydrocarbons, concrete or concrete water must be avoided. Should any major spills of hazardous materials take place, such should be reported in terms of the Section 30 of the NEMA. 		
	Degradation of aquifers Impacts on existing groundwater users	Drilling	Construction Operation Decommissioning	-10.50 -9.00	The following mitigation measures should be implemented as standard during the prospecting phase in order to limit the impact on groundwater resources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure vehicles and equipment are in good working order. Place oil traps under stationary machinery, only re-fuel machines at fuelling station, construct structures to trap fuel spills at a fuelling station, 	-12.38 -8.25	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>immediately clean oil and fuel spills and dispose contaminated material (soil, etc.) at licensed sites only.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that good housekeeping rules are applied. • A procedure for the storage, handling and transport of different hazardous materials must be drawn up and strictly enforced. • Implement and follow water saving procedures and methodologies. • If boreholes are to be drilled to supply water for the staff or drilling processes; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ensure the location of the borehole/s is selected to prevent a negative effect on the groundwater levels of existing boreholes. 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ensure the abstraction from the borehole/s is determined scientifically to prevent over abstraction. ○ Liaise with potentially affected groundwater water users and monitor any potential impact. ○ The distance between a planned exploration drill hole and a privately owned borehole is important to note, as it also affects the distance (pathway) that any potential pollutant must migrate to reach the borehole 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Monitoring of the groundwater quality during and after activities are completed. ● Portable chemical toilets must be used during the exploration phase. ● Mud pits (if to be used) must be lined and properly covered with impermeable material after completion of exploration boreholes ● Cap and seal all exploration boreholes to prevent surface water from entering the borehole. <p>It is not currently known whether groundwater from boreholes is considered to be utilised during the prospecting phase. It is anticipated that local water sources will be located or created in consultation with nearby landowners.</p>		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>During exploration drilling the following information must be recorded and reported on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquifer type; • Depths to first water strike; • Depths to deeper water zones; • Salinity of water strike zones (EC measurement with field probe); • Strike yields; • Standing water level (allow several hours after completion); and • Hole completion details (e.g. cement / bentonite plug, backfill material, bore cap, bore number and coordinates). • Once the drilling sites are identified and prior to any invasive prospecting work, a detailed hydrocensus must of the entire application area be completed by a geohydrologist / 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>geohydrological technician who has experience in the collection of geosite data, as prescribed by the DWS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A detailed geohydrological assessment of expected aquifers is required prior to any invasive exploration work. • To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on any existing groundwater user (i.e. boreholes) and surface water that may be linked to groundwater, a detailed hydrocensus, followed by a geohydrological assessment would be required for the exploration area. The geohydrological report must include a risk assessment (source-pathway-receptor) of every drill site with nearby boreholes / springs and surface water features in mind. • A qualified geohydrologist must form part of the 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>exploration project team during drilling phases to provide the necessary input and scientific support in terms of preventing / mitigating degradation of aquifers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Geohydrological Management Plan (GMP) can be compiled for the Tierklip Area once a hydrocensus has been completed, also taking cognisance of the specific drilling positions and potential receptors. • Site specific information / instructions that will ultimately have to be included in the final GMP and overseen by an experienced and qualified geohydrologist (Pr. Sci. Nat. registered) must include: • A description of the expected geological formations that will be penetrated and the expected aquifer characteristics associated 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>with each geological formation – depth of the borehole will dictate the potential risks;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expected water qualities of each aquifer (associated with the different geological formations) that will be penetrated – depth of the exploration borehole will dictate the potential risks; • An assessment of the potential degradation of the aquifers should variable water qualities mix; • Surrounding groundwater users and the protection thereof: positions of boreholes, depths, abstraction rates, water quality and dependency of the owner of his/her borehole. 		
Ablutions - Chemical Toilets	Impacts on surface water features	Drilling	Construction Operation	-6.50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No invasive prospecting activities to be undertaken within 150m of a watercourse. 	-6.75	Rehabilitate disturbed areas.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
			Decommissioning		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on any existing groundwater user (i.e. boreholes) and surface water that may be linked to groundwater, a detailed hydrocensus, followed by a geohydrological assessment would be required for the exploration area. The geohydrological report must include a risk assessment (source-pathway-receptor) of every drill site with nearby boreholes / springs and surface water features in mind. Should any watercourse be affected, then the necessary water use licences should be obtained from the Department of Water and Sanitation. No ablution of site laydown areas are to be located within 150m of a watercourse. 		On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any possible contamination of watercourses by hydrocarbons, concrete or concrete water must be avoided. Should any major spills of hazardous materials take place, such should be reported in terms of the Section 30 of the NEMA. 		
Undertake closure and rehabilitation as per the annual and final rehabilitation plan	Encroachment by alien invasive plant species Abandonment of construction equipment Appropriate waste removal and documentation thereof	Rehabilitation	Operation Rehabilitation	-7.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only indigenous plant species must be used during revegetation of disturbed areas, a plant specialist must be consulted for this purpose. Topsoil must also be utilised, and any disturbed area must be re-vegetated with plant and grass species which are endemic to this vegetation type; Rehabilitation efforts must be monitored by a suitably qualified ECO until adequate vegetation cover is achieved. Any excess or waste material or chemicals, including drilling muds etc. 	-4,50	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>must be removed from the site and must preferably be recycled (e.g. oil and other hydrocarbon waste products).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any waste materials or chemicals that cannot be recycled must be disposed of at a suitably licensed waste facility. • Restoration and rehabilitation of disturbed areas must be implemented as soon as prospecting activities are completed; • Sites must be restored to the original condition with vegetation cover (where applicable) equalling the surrounding vegetation cover. • No permanent structures will be permitted at the camp; • All structure footprints to be rehabilitated and landscaped after prospecting is complete; • All debris and contaminated soils must 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<p>be removed and suitably disposed of.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contours and natural surrounding must be reformed; • Natural drainage patterns must be restored. • All surface infrastructure on site must be removed; • Temporary access routes/roads must be suitably rehabilitated; and • Sites must be monitored by the ECO (including relevant specialist's inputs if, necessary) for adequate rehabilitation until the desired rehabilitation objectives have been achieved. • The boreholes need to be sealed to ensure that no fauna species can fall in the drill hole. • During decommissioning, compacted surfaces should be broken-up and covered with brush, leaf litter or reseeded with site specific grass species. 		



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
Monitoring of rehabilitation efforts	Erosion due to improper rehabilitation	Closure and Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation Post-rehabilitation	-5.25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The post-operational monitoring and management period following decommissioning of prospecting activities must be implemented by a suitable qualified independent party for a minimum of one (1) year unless otherwise specified by the competent authority. • The monitoring activities during this period will include but not be limited to: • Biodiversity monitoring; and • Re-vegetation of disturbed areas where required. • Provision must be made to monitor any unforeseen impact that may arise as a result of the proposed prospecting activities and incorporated into post closure monitoring and management. 	-2.50	Rehabilitate disturbed areas. On-going monitoring.



NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE IN WHICH IMPACT IS ANTICIPATED	SIGNIFICANCE IF NOT MITIGATED	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE IF MITIGATED	CLOSURE OPTIONS
					<ul style="list-style-type: none">Restoration success should be monitored through a follow-up site visit during the next growing season in order to identify remedial actions		

It is important to note that the environmental risk assessment will be revised and updated on an annual basis to ensure that this FRDCP remains applicable to the actual and predicted environmental impacts and risks.



3.3 ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS AND MONITORING

Table 14 provides a list of the identified environmental impacts identified for the rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the prospecting activity. In addition, environmental indicators are identified for each impact, together with proposed monitoring requirements. The indicators and monitoring will aim to inform ongoing rehabilitation and remediation activities. These indicators will also inform the assessment of whether the closure objectives have been adequately met.

Table 14: Environmental Indicators and Monitoring Requirements

ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE OF DISTURBANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION
Prospecting boreholes: 10 sites , with a footprint of 300 m² each	Construction and Operation Decommissioning	0.3 ha, short term	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation clearing for prospecting sites should be kept to a minimum in order to reduce the disturbance footprint; Compaction of soil must be avoided as far as possible, and the use of heavy machinery must be restricted in areas outside of the proposed prospecting sites to reduce the compaction of soils; All measures should be implemented to minimize the potential of dust generation; Local residents should be notified of any potentially noisy activities or work and these activities should be undertaken at reasonable times of the day. These works should not take place at night or on weekends; Noise attenuation on engines must be adequate, and the noisy activities must be restricted as far as is possible to times and locations whereby the potential for noise nuisance is reduced; When working near to a potential sensitive area, the contractor must limit the number of simultaneous activities to the minimum; 	SANS 10103 ECA Noise Regulations NEMAQA Dust Regulations NWA	Throughout Construction and operation and decommissioning



ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE OF DISTURBANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure proper storage of fuels; • On-site vehicles must be limited to approved access routes and areas on the site so as to minimize excessive environmental disturbance to the soil and vegetation on site, and to minimize disruption of traffic; • Workforce should be kept within defined boundaries and to agreed access routes. • No invasive prospecting activities to be undertaken within 150m of a watercourse. • Should any watercourse be affected, then the necessary water use licences should be obtained from the Department of Water and Sanitation. • No ablation of site laydown areas are to be located within 150m of a watercourse. • Where shallow aquifers are encountered, a survey of the drinking water/ livestock watering boreholes should be undertaken (within 5km of the prospecting borehole sites). A detailed groundwater monitoring programme should be developed for these drinking water/ livestock watering boreholes and pre- and post-prospecting water quality samples should be taken. • Where drinking water/ livestock watering boreholes are to be affected, and where a pollution event occurs at a particular borehole, then the advice of a geohydrologist should be sought with regards to the 		



ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE OF DISTURBANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION
			need for plugging and casing of the prospecting boreholes.		
Borehole Closure	Decommissioning and Closure	Short term and localized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where groundwater is encountered during drilling, all affected prospecting boreholes that will not be required for later monitoring or other useful purposes should be plugged and sealed with cement to prevent possible cross flow and contamination between aquifers; • Cement and liquid concrete are hazardous to the natural environment on account of the very high pH of the material, and the chemicals contained therein. As a result, the contractor shall ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Concrete shall not be mixed directly on the ground; ○ The visible remains of concrete, either solid, or from washings, shall be physically removed immediately and disposed of as waste, (Washing of visible signs into the ground is not acceptable); and ○ All excess aggregate shall also be removed. 	NWA DWAF BPG	Throughout Decommissioning and Closure
Removal of surface infrastructure	Decommissioning	Short term and localized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All infrastructure, equipment, and other items used during prospecting will be removed from the site. • Compaction of soil must be avoided as far as possible. The use of heavy machinery must be restricted in areas outside of the proposed prospecting sites to reduce the compaction of soils. 	MPRDA Rehab Plan	Decommissioning



ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE OF DISTURBANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION
Removal of waste	Decommissioning	Small scale and localized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any excess or waste material or chemicals, including drilling muds etc. must be removed from the site and must preferably be recycled (e.g. oil and other hydrocarbon waste products). Any waste materials or chemicals that cannot be recycled must be disposed of at a suitably licensed waste facility. 	NWA DWAFF BPG	Decommissioning
Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	All disturbed areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restoration and rehabilitation of disturbed areas must be implemented as soon as prospecting activities are completed; Sites must be restored to the original condition with vegetation cover (where applicable) equalling the surrounding vegetation cover; All debris and contaminated soils must be removed and suitably disposed of; Contours and natural surrounding must be reformed; Natural drainage patterns must be restored; All surface infrastructure on site must be removed; Temporary access routes/roads must be suitably rehabilitated; and Sites must be monitored by the ECO (including relevant specialist's inputs if, necessary) for adequate rehabilitation until the desired rehabilitation objectives have been achieved. 	MPRDA Rehab Plan NEMA	Rehabilitation



ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE OF DISTURBANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION
<p>Monitoring</p>	<p>Post-Operational</p>	<p>All rehabilitated areas</p>	<p>The post-operational monitoring and management period following decommissioning of prospecting activities must be implemented by a suitable qualified independent party for a minimum of one (1) year unless otherwise specified by the competent authority.</p> <p>The monitoring activities during this period will include but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity monitoring; and • Re-vegetation of disturbed areas where required. <p>Provision must be made to monitor any unforeseen impact that may arise as a result of the proposed prospecting activities and incorporated into post closure monitoring and management.</p>	<p>MPRDA Rehab Plan</p>	<p>Post-operation</p>



3.4 DESIGN PRINCIPLES

3.4.1 LEGISLATIVE AND GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

The requirement for final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure stems primarily from the legislative requirements of the MPRDA and the NEMA. The relevant extracts from each of these are presented in this section. Please also refer to Section 3 of the BAR for an overview of other enviro-legal requirements which may influence closure planning.

3.4.1.1 MINERALS AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT, ACT 28 OF 2002

The following extracts relate to the principle of closure for any right issued under the MPRDA:

- Section 43(1): The holder of a prospecting right, mining right, retention permit, mining permit, or previous holder of an old order right or previous owner of works that has ceased to exist, remains responsible for any environmental liability, pollution, ecological degradation, the pumping and treatment of extraneous water, compliance to the conditions of the environmental authorisation and the management and sustainable closure thereof, until the Minister has issued a closure certificate in terms of this Act to the holder or owner concerned.
- Section 43(4): An application for a closure certificate must be made to the Regional Manager in whose region the land in question is situated within 180 days of the occurrence of the lapsing, abandonment, cancellation, cessation, relinquishment or completion contemplated in subsection (3) and must be accompanied by the required information, programmes, plans and reports prescribed in terms of this Act and the National Environmental Management Act, 1998.
- Section 43 (5): No closure certificate may be issued unless the Chief Inspector and each government department charged with the administration of any law which relates to any matter affecting the environment have confirmed in writing that the provisions pertaining to health and safety and management pollution to water resources, the pumping and treatment of extraneous water and compliance to the conditions of the environmental authorisation have been addressed.
- Section 43 (7): The holder of a prospecting right, mining right, retention permit, mining permit, or previous holder of an old order right or previous owner of works that has ceased to exist, or the person contemplated in subsection (2), as the case may be, must plan for, manage and implement such procedures and such requirements on mine closure as may be prescribed.
- Section 43 (8): Procedures and requirements on mine closure as it relates to the compliance of the conditions of an environmental authorisation, are prescribed in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998.

3.4.1.2 MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

The following extracts from the MPRDA Regulations are specifically applicable to the preparation of this FRDCP:

- Regulation 51 (a)(i): An environmental management programme contemplated in section 39(1) of the Act must include the following: A description of the environmental objectives and specific goals for mine closure;
- Regulation 54: Quantum of financial provision:
 - (1) The quantum of the financial provision as determined in a guideline document published by the Department from time to time, include a detailed itemization of all actual costs required for-
 - a. premature closure regarding- (i) the rehabilitation of the surface of the area; (ii) the prevention and management of pollution of the atmosphere; and (iii) the prevention and management of pollution of water and the soil; and (iv) the prevention of leakage of water and minerals between subsurface formations and the surface.
 - b. decommissioning and final closure of the operation; and



- c. post closure management of residual and latent environmental impacts.
 - (2) The holder of a prospecting right, mining right or mining permit must annually update and review the quantum of the financial provision –
 - a. in consultation with a competent person;
 - b. as required in terms of the approved environmental management programme or environmental management plan; or
 - c. as requested by the Minister.
- Regulation 56: Principles for mine closure: In accordance with applicable legislative requirements for mine closure, the holder of a prospecting right, mining right, retention permit or mining permit must ensure that -
 - (a) the closure of a prospecting or mining operation incorporates a process which must start at the commencement of the operation and continue throughout the life of the operation;
 - (b) risks pertaining to environmental impacts must be quantified and managed pro-actively, which includes the gathering of relevant information throughout the life of a prospecting or mining operation;
 - (c) the safety and health requirements in terms of the Mine Health and Safety Act, 1996 (Act No. 29 of 1996) are complied with;
 - (d) residual and possible latent environmental impacts are identified and quantified;
 - (e) the land is rehabilitated, as far as is practicable, to its natural state, or to a predetermined and agreed standard or land use which conforms with the concept of sustainable development; and
 - (f) prospecting or mining operations are closed efficiently and cost effectively.
- Regulation 61: Closure objectives- Closure objectives form part of the draft environmental management programme or environmental management plan, as the case may be, and must –
 - (a) identify the key objectives for mine closure to guide the project design, development and management of environmental impacts;
 - (b) provide broad future land use objective(s) for the site; and
 - (c) provide proposed closure costs.
- Regulation 62: Contents of closure plan: A closure plan contemplated in section 43(3)(d) of the Act, forms part of the environmental management programme or environmental management plan, as the case may be, and must include -
 - (a) a description of the closure objectives and how these relate to the prospecting or mine operation and its environmental and social setting;
 - (b) a plan contemplated in regulation 2(2), showing the land or area under closure;
 - (c) a summary of the regulatory requirements and conditions for closure negotiated and documented in the environmental management programme or environmental management plan, as the case may be;
 - (d) a summary of the results of the environmental risk report and details of identified residual and latent impacts;
 - (e) a summary of the results of progressive rehabilitation undertaken;
 - (f) a description of the methods to decommission each prospecting or mining component and the mitigation or management strategy proposed to avoid, minimize and manage residual or latent impacts;
 - (g) details of any long-term management and maintenance expected;



- (h) details of a proposed closure cost and financial provision for monitoring, maintenance and post closure management;
- (i) a sketch plan drawn on an appropriate scale describing the final and future land use proposal and arrangements for the site;
- (j) a record of interested and affected persons consulted; and
- (k) technical appendices, if any.

3.4.1.3 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT (ACT 107 OF 1998)

Prior to 8 December 2014, the environmental aspects of prospecting activities were regulated in terms of the MPRDA. Recent legislative amendments and the drive towards a 'one environmental system' have resulted in the inclusion of the requirement for rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure planning and associated financial provisions into the NEMA. Specific sections of the act are extracted below:

- Section 24P: Financial provision for remediation of environmental damage:
 - (1) An applicant for an environmental authorisation relating to prospecting, exploration, mining or production must, before the Minister responsible for mineral resources issues the environmental authorisation, comply with the prescribed financial provision for the rehabilitation, closure and ongoing post decommissioning management of negative environmental impacts.
 - (2) If any holder or any holder of an old order right fails to rehabilitate or to manage any impact on the environment, or is unable to undertake such rehabilitation or to manage such impact, the Minister responsible for mineral resources may, upon written notice to such holder, use all or part of the financial provision contemplated in subsection (1) to rehabilitate or manage the environmental impact in question.
 - (3) Every holder must annually-
 - a. assess his or her environmental liability in a prescribed manner and must increase his or her financial provision to the satisfaction of the Minister responsible for mineral resources; and
 - b. submit an audit report to the Minister responsible for mineral resources on the adequacy of the financial provision from an independent auditor.
 - (4) (a) If the Minister responsible for mineral resources is not satisfied with the assessment and financial provision contemplated in this section, the Minister responsible for mineral resources may appoint an independent assessor to conduct the assessment and determine the financial provision. (b) Any cost in respect of such assessment must be borne by the holder in question.
 - (5) The requirement to maintain and retain the financial provision contemplated in this section remains in force notwithstanding the issuing of a closure certificate by the Minister responsible for mineral resources in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 to the holder or owner concerned and the Minister responsible for mineral resources may retain such portion of the financial provision as may be required to rehabilitate the closed mining or prospecting operation in respect of latent, residual or any other environmental impacts, including the pumping of polluted or extraneous water, for a prescribed period.
 - (6) The Insolvency Act, 1936 (Act No. 24 of 1936), does not apply to any form of financial provision contemplated in subsection (1) and all amounts arising from that provision.
 - (7) The Minister, or an MEC in concurrence with the Minister, may in writing make subsections (1) to (6) with the changes required by the context applicable to any other application in terms of this Act.
- Section 24R: Mine closure on environmental authorisation:



- (1) Every holder, holder of an old order right and owner of works remain responsible for any environmental liability, pollution or ecological degradation, the pumping and treatment of polluted or extraneous water, the management and sustainable closure thereof notwithstanding the issuing of a closure certificate by the Minister responsible for mineral resources in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002, to the holder or owner concerned.
- (2) When the Minister responsible for mineral resources issues a closure certificate, he or she must return such portion of the financial provision contemplated in section 24P as the Minister may deem appropriate to the holder concerned, but may retain a portion of such financial provision referred to in subsection (1) for any latent, residual or any other environmental impact, including the pumping of polluted or extraneous water, for a prescribed period after issuing a closure certificate.
- (3) Every holder, holder of an old order right or owner of works must plan, manage and implement such procedures and requirements in respect of the closure of a mine as may be prescribed.
- (4) The Minister may, in consultation with the Minister responsible for mineral resources and by notice in the Gazette, identify areas where mines are interconnected or their impacts are integrated to such an extent that the interconnection results in a cumulative impact.
- (5) The Minister may, by notice in the Gazette, publish strategies in order to facilitate mine closure where mines are interconnected, have an integrated impact or pose a cumulative impact.

3.4.1.4 FINANCIAL PROVISIONING REGULATIONS

On 20th November 2015 the Minister promulgated the Financial Provisioning Regulations under the NEMA. The regulations aim to regulate the determine and making of financial provision as contemplated in the NEMA for the costs associated with the undertaking of management, rehabilitation and remediation of environmental impacts from prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations through the lifespan of such operations and latent or residual environmental impacts that may become known in the future. These regulations provide for, inter alia:

- Determination of financial provision: An applicant or holder of a right or permit must determine and make financial provision to guarantee the availability of sufficient funds to undertake rehabilitation and remediation of the adverse environmental impacts of prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations, as contemplated in the Act and to the satisfaction of the Minister responsible for mineral resources.
- Scope of the financial provision: Rehabilitation and remediation; decommissioning and closure activities at the end of operations; and remediation and management of latent or residual impacts.
- Regulation 6: Method for determining financial provision – An applicant must determine the financial provision through a detailed itemisation of all activities and costs, calculated based on the actual costs of implementation of the measures required for:
 - Annual rehabilitation – annual rehabilitation plan
 - Final rehabilitation, decommission and closure at end of life of operations – rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure plan; and
 - Remediation of latent and residual impacts – environmental risk assessment report.
- Regulation 10: An applicant must-
 - ensure that a determination is made of the financial provision and the plans contemplated in regulation 6 are submitted as part of the information submitted for consideration by the Minister responsible for mineral resources of an application for environmental authorisation, the associated environmental management programme and the associated right or permit in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002; and



- Provide proof of payment or arrangements to provide the financial provision prior to commencing with any prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations.
- Regulation 11: Requires annual review, assessment and adjustment of the financial provision. The review of the adequacy of the financial provision including the proof of payment must be independently audited (annually) and included in the audit of the EMPR as required by the EIA regulations.

3.4.1.5 OTHER GUIDELINES

The following additional guidelines which relate to financial provisioning and closure have been published in the South African context:

- Best Practice Guideline G5: Water Management Aspects for Mine Closure: This guideline was prepared by the DWS and aims to provide a logical and clear process that can be applied by mines and the competent authorities to enable proper mine closure planning that meets the requirements of the relevant authorities. This guideline is aimed primarily at larger scale mines and does not specifically address closure issues related to closure of prospecting activities, however certain principles related to closure and water management are relevant. The following technical factors which should be considered during closure, and which are likely to relate to prospecting activities, have been considered:
 - Land use plan: directly interlinked with water management issues insofar as water is required to support the intended land use- in this regard the surrounding communities and the land uses implemented rely on available ground and surface water to be sustained. Management of water quality and quantity has been identified as an aspect to be covered in this FRDCP.
 - Public participation and consultation: consultation is fundamental to closure and there is a need for full involvement of stakeholders in the development of the final closure plans, and in the agreement of closure objectives- in this regard this FRDCP has been made available through the Basic Assessment public participation process for comment by relevant stakeholders.
- Guideline for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine: The objectives of the guideline include the need to improve the understanding of the financial and legal aspects pertaining to the costing of remediation measures as a result of mining activities. Whilst this guideline predates the recent NEMA Financial Provisioning Regulations, it does contain certain principles and concepts that remain valid and have been considered in this FRDCP.

3.4.2 CLOSURE VISION, OBJECTIVE AND TARGETS

The vision, and consequent objective and targets for rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure, aim to reflect the local environmental and socio-economic context of the project, and to represent both the corporate requirements and the stakeholder expectations.

The receiving environment within which the prospecting activities will be undertaken include the following key land-uses:

- Grazing land; and
- Vacant land.

With reference to Section 3.1.3, the stakeholders will be consulted during the public participation process for the BAR and their comments relating to closure, decommissioning and rehabilitation will be considered in terms of this document.

With reference to both the environmental context of the project and the feedback from the consultation process the vision for closure is to: Ensure that the post closure land use aligns with the surrounding land-use and does not affect the sustained utilisation of the land.

In practice the post closure land-use will depend on the pre- prospecting land-use applicable to the specific location of the prospecting activities. This FRDCP aim to address the key closure objectives which are likely to remain consistent for the majority of the prospecting activities.



Driven by the closure vision and with due consideration of the project context the following closure objective are presented:

- Set the course for eventual ecosystem restoration, including the restoration of the natural vegetation community, hydrology, and wildlife habitats.
- Prevent future environmental issues related to prospecting areas.
- Protection of water resources.
- Ensure that land is usable, in alignment with surrounding land uses.

Please refer to Table 14 for the stipulated targets related to these closure objectives.

3.4.3 ALTERNATIVE CLOSURE AND POST CLOSURE OPTIONS

There are various alternative closure and post closure options available. The identification and consideration of the most suitable alternatives are driven by, inter alia the following considerations:

- The ability of the selected alternative to adequately meet the specified closure vision and objectives.
- The efficiency, viability, and practicality of the selected alternative.
- The alignment with the local environmental and socio-economic context and associated opportunities and constrains.

Table 15 presents some available options and alternatives related to the process of abandoning and closure of a prospecting site. This reassessment must be utilised to select the most appropriate and responsible closure option. The options in the table below that are marked with an “X” are considered the preferred options.

Table 15: Closure Alternatives

Prospecting Activity	Aspect	Options	Comment
Prospecting Boreholes	Closure	Yes	<p>The main aim in developing this rehabilitation plan is to mitigate the impacts caused by the prospecting activities and to restore land back to a satisfactory standard. It is best practice to develop the rehabilitation plan as early as possible so as to ensure the optimal management of rehabilitation issues that may arise. It is important that the projects closure plan is defined and understood from before starting the process and is complementary to the rehabilitation goals. Rehabilitation and closure objectives need to be tailored to the project at hand and be aligned with the EMPR. The overall rehabilitation objectives for this project are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain and minimise impacts to the ecosystem within the study area; • Re-establishment of the pre-developed land capability to allow for a suitable post- prospecting land use; • Prevent soil, surface water and groundwater contamination; • Comply with the relevant local and national regulatory requirements; and • Maintain and monitor the rehabilitated areas.



Prospecting Activity	Aspect	Options	Comment
		No	The option of not rehabilitating the mined areas, leaving the risks such as contamination of watercourses, establishment and spread of alien vegetation, safety risk to humans and animals unmitigated.
	Surface Infrastructure	Complete removal	In order to allow unhindered land use of the prospecting area, it is suggested that all surface infrastructure be removed.
		Retain	Surface infrastructure would typically remain for possible future use by the landowner or the applicant.
	Access roads	Rehabilitate	The intention is to rehabilitate the area, including the access route, to the pre- prospecting condition.
		Retain	In certain instances, the landowner may request the retention of the access route.

As mentioned previously the final closure and decommissioning of a prospecting site must be pre-empted by a site-specific assessment and where applicable the implementation of the most appropriate rehabilitation and closure strategy. Furthermore, the annual review of this FRDCP must where applicable include an assessment and adjustment of the closure strategy to reflect the most recent technical development and industry best practice, as well as any lessons learnt from the implementation of closure on this project.

3.4.4 MOTIVATION FOR PREFERRED CLOSURE OPTION

With reference to Sections 3.4.2 and 3.4.3, the preferred closure option is as follows:

- In line with the DWAF (2008). Best Practice Guideline A6: Water Management for Underground Mines, all prospecting boreholes that will not be required for later monitoring or other useful purposes should be plugged and sealed with cement to prevent possible cross flow and contamination between aquifers;
- In order to allow unhindered land use of the prospecting area, it is suggested that all surface
- infrastructure be removed; and
- Rehabilitate access routes.

It is anticipated that the closure option presented above, together with monitoring over a 2 year post closure period, will achieve the stipulated closure objective. This closure option is in line with industry best practice and the requirements of the MPRDA Regulations.

3.4.5 CLOSURE PERIOD AND POST CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

The closure period is defined as the period between the cessation of prospecting activities, and the completion of active rehabilitation actions on the applicable site. It is important to note that the nature of prospecting drilling is such that closure may be implemented for individual boreholes as and when the analysis ends.

Following successful completion of the active closure actions it is suggested that a further post closure period be assigned to allow for monitoring of the success of closure. It is anticipated that a period of 2 years be permitted for ongoing post closure monitoring. This post closure monitoring will include the following:

- Inspection of borehole plug integrity; and
- Vegetation composition.



3.4.6 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The following assumptions and limitations apply to this FRDCP:

- The following assumptions have been made and used as the basis for the financial provision calculations:
 - Post closure land use to resemble the pre-prospecting land use and vegetative cover;
 - Depth per borehole: ~600m;
 - Distance of access track (requiring rehabilitation): ~5 000 m total;
 - The access roads prepared for the prospecting activities will be rehabilitated during closure;
 - The closure actions and associated period will commence as soon as a borehole is abandoned; and
 - It is assumed that the entire length and diameter of the prospecting borehole will be plugged/cemented.
- It is assumed that the management and mitigation measures suggested in the BAR relating to ongoing environmental management will be complied with. This includes post drilling clean-up and rehabilitation; and
- It is assumed that the drilling, will be carried out in accordance with industry best practice and that permeable zones are adequately isolated (including the usable ground water aquifers).

3.5 FINAL POST PROSPECTING LAND USE

As discussed above the final post closure land use will depend on the specific site circumstances. It is proposed that prior to initiating closure that a suitably qualified specialist is appointed to undertake an assessment and consult with the landowner and prepare a site-specific decommissioning plan for submission to DMR for review and approval. For the purposes of this FRDCP it is assumed that the post closure land use will be natural grassland utilised for livestock grazing or else vacant land.

3.6 CLOSURE ACTIONS

3.6.1 INTEGRATED REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE PLAN

The main aim in developing this rehabilitation plan is to mitigate the impacts caused by the prospecting activities and to restore land back to a satisfactory standard. It is best practice to develop the rehabilitation plan as early as possible so as to ensure the optimal management of rehabilitation issues that may arise. It is important that the project's closure plan is defined and understood before starting the process and is complementary to the rehabilitation goals. Rehabilitation and closure objectives need to be tailored to the project at hand and be aligned with the EMPR. The overall rehabilitation objectives for this project are as follows:

- Maintain and minimise impacts to the ecosystem within the study area;
- Re-establishment of the pre-developed land capability to allow for a suitable post- prospecting land use;
- Prevent soil, surface water and groundwater contamination;
- Comply with the relevant local and national regulatory requirements; and
- Maintain and monitor the rehabilitated areas.

Successful rehabilitation must be sustainable, and requires an understanding of the basic baseline environment, as well as project management to ensure that the rehabilitation program is a success.

It is noted that an application for environmental authorisation must be submitted for closure in accordance with Activity 22 Listing Notice 1:

The decommissioning of any activity requiring –



- I. a closure certificate in terms of Section 43 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002); or
- II. A prospecting right, mining permit, production right or exploration right, where the throughput of the activity has reduced by 90% or more over a period of 5 years excluding where the competent authority has in writing agreed that such reduction in throughput does not constitute closure.

3.6.2 PHASE 1: MAKING SAFE

In line with the DWAF (2008). Best Practice Guideline A6: Water Management for Underground Mines. All prospecting boreholes that will not be required for later monitoring or other useful purposes should be plugged and sealed with cement to prevent possible cross flow and contamination between aquifers. Cement and liquid concrete are hazardous to the natural environment on account of the very high pH of the material, and the chemicals contained therein. As a result, the contractor shall ensure that:

- Concrete shall not be mixed directly on the ground;
- The visible remains of concrete, either solid, or from washings, shall be physically removed immediately and disposed of as waste, (Washing of visible signs into the ground is not acceptable); and
- All excess aggregate shall also be removed.

3.6.3 PHASE 2: LANDFORM DESIGN, EROSION CONTROL AND REVEGETATION

Landform, erosion control and re-vegetation is an important part of the rehabilitation process. Landform and land use are closely interrelated, and the landform should be returned as closely as possible to the original landform. Community expectations, compatibility with local land use practices and regional infrastructure, or the need to replace natural ecosystems and faunal habitats all support returning the land as closely as possible to its original appearance and productive capacity. This requires the following:

- Shape, level and de-compact (where necessary) the final landscape after removing all the project infrastructure, dress with topsoil and, where necessary, vegetate with indigenous species. Commission specialists to assist in planning re-vegetation and the management of environmental impact, as required.
- Remove access roads with no beneficial re-use potential by deep ripping, shaping and levelling after the removal and disposal of any culverts, drains, ditches and/or other infrastructure. Natural drainage patterns are to be reinstated as closely as possible.
- Shape all channels and drains to smooth slopes and integrate into the natural drainage pattern.
- Construct contour banks and energy dissipating structures as necessary to protect disturbed areas from erosion prior to stabilisation.
- Promote re-vegetation through the encouragement of the natural process of secondary succession.
- Natural re-vegetation is dependent on de-compaction of subsoils and adequate replacement of the accumulated reserves of topsoil (for example, over the borehole sites), so as to encourage the establishment of pioneer vegetation.
- Remove alien and/or exotic vegetation.
- Undertake a seeding programme only where necessary, and as agreed with the re-vegetation specialist.

3.6.4 PHASE 3: MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

The post-operational monitoring and management period following decommissioning of prospecting activities must be implemented by a suitable qualified independent party for a minimum of two (2) year unless otherwise specified by the competent authority.

The monitoring activities during this period will include but not be limited to:

- Biodiversity monitoring; and
- Re-vegetation of disturbed areas where required.



Provision must be made to monitor any unforeseen impact that may arise as a result of the proposed prospecting activities and incorporated into post closure monitoring and management.

3.6.5 POST-CLOSURE MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

Prior to decommissioning and rehabilitation activities, a monitoring programme shall be developed and submitted to the relevant authority for approval, as a part of the Final Rehabilitation Plan. The programme is to include proposed monitoring during and after the closure of the prospecting sites and related activities. It is recommended that the post-closure monitoring include the following:

- Confirmation that any waste, wastewater or other pollutants that is generated as a result of decommissioning will be managed appropriately, as per the detailed requirements set out in the Final Rehabilitation Plan;
- Confirmation that all de-contaminated sites are free of residual pollution after decommissioning;
- Confirmation that acceptable cover has been achieved in areas where natural vegetation is being re-established.
- ‘Acceptable cover’ means re-establishment of pioneer grass communities over the disturbed areas at a density similar to surrounding undisturbed areas, non-eroding and free of invasive alien plants; and
- Confirmation that the prospecting borehole sites are safe and are not resulting in a pollution hazard.

Annual environmental reports will be submitted to the Designated Authority and other relevant Departments for at least one-year post-decommissioning. The frequency and duration of this reporting period may be increased to include longer term monitoring, at intervals to be agreed with the Designated Authority.

The monitoring reports shall include a list of any remedial action necessary to ensure that infrastructure that has not been removed remains safe and pollution free and that rehabilitation of project sites are in a stable, weed and free condition.

3.7 FINAL REHABILITATION, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE SCHEDULE

Table 16 below presents the forecast Schedule of actions related to the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure, in relation to the overall forecast prospecting schedule. It should be noted that this schedule represents a cautious approach and therefore doesn’t take into consideration the recommendation that final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure may be initiated earlier in the prospecting process for individual borehole sites.

Table 16: Timeframes each of the proposed activities

Phase	Activity	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Phase 1 (Month 0-6)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Desktop Study: Literature Survey / Review / acquisition of data	X				
Phase 2 (Month 1-12)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Regional Airborne Geophysical Survey	X				
Phase 3 (Month 12-24)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Ground Geophysical Survey and Geological Field Mapping		X			
Phase 4 (Month 24-34)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Exploration Boreholes			X		
Phase 5	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u>			X		



(Month 34-36)	Compilation, interpretation and modeling of data					
Phase 6 (Month 36-42)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Detailed Ground Geophysical Survey on individual positively mineralized targets to define possible extent				X	
Phase 7 (Month 42-48)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Boreholes to confirm continuity of mineralization & potential deposit size				X	
Phase 8 (Month 48-60)	<u>Invasive Prospecting</u> Resource definition drilling					X
Phase 9 (Month 54-60)	<u>Non-Invasive Prospecting</u> Analytical Desktop Pre-Feasibility Study					X

3.8 ORGANISATIONAL CAPACITY

Capacity of the following key roles and responsibilities must be provided for:

- The Applicant: The applicant is ultimately responsible for ensuring compliance with all the provisions of the prospecting right and associated plans, as well as other relevant legal requirements. The Applicant must ensure knowledge and understanding of the applicable legislation, guidelines and industry best practices. Where necessary the applicant must appoint suitably qualified specialists, engineers, and other internal and external resources to adequately comply with the applicable commitments and requirements. Relevant commitments made and obligations contained within the legal requirements must be adequately planned and budgeted for. The applicant must also ensure that suitable structures are put in place to effectively communicate with the affected landowners and relevant stakeholders.
- Independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner: This individual will be appointed to ensure compliance with the requirements of the FRDCP and specifically to undertake the following tasks:
 - Undertake the required pre-closure environmental site assessment, risk assessment, and landowner consultations.
 - Prepare a site-specific final closure and decommissioning plan.
 - Undertake the required periodic compliance monitoring and reporting during the closure period.
- Prospecting specialist: This individual must be a suitably qualified professional who must have relevant experience in prospecting. Key attributes must include experience and qualifications related to the technologies applicable to prospecting site closure, as well as a thorough understanding of internationally accepted closure standards and guidelines. This specialist will be responsible for ensuring that the closure plan is implemented to ensure that the risks to the environment and surrounding communities are prevented or limited.

Further education, training and capacity building is critical to ensure that the prospecting activities align with evolving internally accepted best practice and research. In this regard the Applicant must ensure that regular review of international best practice is undertaken and where applicable implemented throughout the prospecting programme.

3.9 IDENTIFICATION OF CLOSURE PLAN GAPS

The key gaps applicable to this closure plan are as follows:



- The geological stratigraphy and nature of the borehole profiles is unknown. The specific geological stratigraphy will be a determining factor in the planning for closure and decommissioning.
- The impact that any existing boreholes may have on the receiving environment is unknown.

The following actions have been proposed to address these gaps:

- A detailed drilling log will be prepared and maintained for each of the boreholes to ensure that the specific geological stratigraphy and sub-surface conditions are considered and inform the final site-specific closure and decommissioning plan.
- A site-specific closure and decommissioning plan will be prepared for each invasive activities and will where applicable be informed by a specialist environmental site assessment, and risk assessment, as well as a specialist assessment and plan for borehole plugging and decommissioning.

Furthermore, the financial provisioning regulations requires that the FRDCP be revisited, assessed, and revised on an annual basis. This annual review must aim to ensure that the gaps identified above are addressed, as applicable, and the relevant financial provisioning updated.

3.10 RELINQUISHMENT CRITERIA

Relinquishment can be defined as the formal approval by the relevant regulating authority indicating that the completion criteria for the prospecting activity have been met to the satisfaction of the authority. In this regard the relinquishment criteria are driven by the objectives of closure and consequently the indicators applicable to each impact associated with the closure and decommissioning of the prospecting boreholes. In this regard reference is made to Table 14 which presents each identified environmental impact, the associated indicators and proposed closure targets. In summary the proposed relinquishment criteria include:

- Biodiversity and soils: The vegetation cover of the affected areas must be consistent with surrounding vegetative cover. There must be ecosystem functionality which is consistent with the surroundings. There must be no faunal mortalities associated with the prospecting activities.
- Social: There must be no unattended complaints. Where possible written confirmation from the affected landowner must be solicited confirming that outstanding issues have been addressed and closed out.
- Waste: There must be no waste materials remaining on site.

3.11 CLOSURE COST - FRDCP

At any time, funds must be available for the amount of 10 years of the calculation of the sum of the rehabilitation calculation. The remainder of this section provides details on the proposed closure cost. The assumptions and limitations stated in Section 3.4.6 and Section 3.11.3, also underpin the basis of this closure cost determination.

3.11.1 CLOSURE COST METHODOLOGY

The closure cost has been calculated through the following steps:

- Applicable prospecting activities are listed;
- Applicable closure actions listed for each activity;
- Cost items are listed for each action;
- Cost units and rates determined for each item (where possible on the basis of actual quotations); and
- Total cost is calculated.

3.11.2 CLOSURE COST ESTIMATION

This closure cost is based on 2020 values and will require annual reassessment, revision and escalation. The preliminary estimate of the Rehabilitation Cost is (inclusive of contingencies and VAT): R 653 473.70. Please refer to Appendix E1 for the detailed breakdown of the anticipated closure cost for 10 boreholes.



3.11.3 CLOSURE COST ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

In accordance with the prospecting activities description, the following activities are included, and their associated cost assumptions:

- The following assumptions have been made and used as the basis for the financial provision calculations:
 - Post closure land use to resemble the pre-prospecting land use and vegetative cover;
 - Depth per borehole: ~600m;
 - Distance of access track (requiring rehabilitation): ~5 000 m total;
 - The access roads prepared for the prospecting activities will be rehabilitated during closure;
 - The closure actions and associated period will commence as soon as a borehole is abandoned; and
 - It is assumed that the entire length and diameter of the prospecting borehole will be plugged/cemented.
- It is assumed that the management and mitigation measures suggested in the BAR relating to ongoing environmental management will be complied with. This includes post drilling clean-up and rehabilitation; and
- It is assumed that the drilling, will be carried out in accordance with industry best practice and that permeable zones are adequately isolated (including the usable ground water aquifers).

3.12 MONITORING, AUDITING AND REPORTING

The requirement to monitor and audit should be carried through all phases of the proposed prospecting activities. In this regard the following monitoring and auditing requirements for the pre-closure phases have been specified in the BAR and EMPr (please refer to the BAR and EMPr for further detail):

- Compliance monitoring and auditing:
 - In accordance with Regulation 26 of the NEMA EIA regulations the competent authority will indicate the extent and frequency of required environmental audits in any consequent environmental authorisations. For the purposes of this submission the following is proposed:
 - The Site Manager (normally the Project Geologist) will be responsible for daily monitoring, culminating in weekly reports which will be filed in support of an overall monthly report, which is to be submitted to the BMM Environmental Officer. Compliance with the BAR & EMPr will be audited quarterly by the BMM Environmental Officer. The officer will be responsible for quarterly site inspections and reports, culminating in the compilation of the annual performance assessment report which is to be submitted to the DMR, as per legal requirement. The results of these inspections will be documented and kept on record for the life of the prospecting operation. External audits in the form of EMP performance assessments will be conducted every two years by an independent consultant and submitted to the DMR.
- Environmental Monitoring (as detailed in the BAR and EMPr):
 - Waste Management; and
 - Progressive rehabilitation.
- Review and update of Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan:
 - In accordance with Regulation 11 of the NEMA Financial Provisioning Regulations the Applicant must ensure annual review of the annual rehabilitation plan, the final rehabilitation decommissioning and closure plan, as well as the environmental risk assessment. This annual review must be audited by an independent auditor.



It is critical to continue monitoring through to the post- closure phase of the prospecting activities. The aim of this being to ensure that the objectives of the rehabilitation and closure plan are met. In this regard the following actions, to be adjusted based on the completion of the pre-closure site assessment, are proposed:

- Compliance monitoring and auditing: Annual (or as agreed) environmental reports will be submitted to the competent authority and other relevant stakeholders for at least 2 years post-decommissioning. The monitoring reports shall include a list of any remedial action necessary to ensure that infrastructure that has not been removed remains safe and pollution free and that rehabilitation of project sites are in a stable, weed free condition.
- Environmental Monitoring:
 - Flora: Biodiversity assessments mid wet season should be undertaken by the ECO to monitor the rehabilitation progress with regards to flora. Confirmation that acceptable cover has been achieved in areas where natural vegetation is being re-established. 'Acceptable cover' means re-establishment of pioneer grass communities over the disturbed areas at a density similar to surrounding undisturbed areas, non-eroding and free of invasive alien plants.

4 ANNUAL REHABILITATION PLAN

The annual rehabilitation plan aims to:

- a) review concurrent rehabilitation and remediation activities already implemented;
- b) establish rehabilitation and remediation goals and outcomes for the forthcoming 12 months, which contribute to the gradual achievement of the post-prospecting land use, closure vision and objectives identified in the holder's final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan;
- c) establish a plan, schedule and budget for rehabilitation for the forthcoming 12 months;
- d) identify and address shortcomings experienced in the preceding 12 months of rehabilitation; and
- e) evaluate and update the cost of rehabilitation for the 12-month period and for closure, for purposes of supplementing the financial provision guarantee or other financial provision instrument.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT – LATENT AND RESIDUAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

According to the Financial Provisioning Regulations (2015) the objective of the environmental risk assessment report that relates to latent and residual impacts is to:

- a) ensure timeous risk reduction through appropriate interventions;
- b) identify and quantify the potential latent environmental risks related to post closure;
- c) detail the approach to managing the risks;
- d) quantify the potential liabilities associated with the management of the risks; and
- e) outline monitoring, auditing and reporting requirements.

This section of the report aims to address these objectives separately in cases where they have not been considered in previous sections.

5.1 THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS USED AND DESCRIPTION OF LATENT ENVIRONMENTAL RISK

Section 7 of the BAR provides a detailed description of the environmental impact/risk identification and assessment (including the methodology and findings) undertaken for the proposed prospecting activities. Further details of the risk assessment methodology are detailed in the Environmental Risk Assessment under Section 3.2 of this report. As mentioned under Section 3.2, the BAR and EMPr have identified mitigation measures which, once implemented successfully, will result in the avoidance or acceptable reduction of the associated impact.



The drivers that could result in the manifestation of the latent risk are largely defined by the specifics of the site location and the geological profile surrounding each specific site. It is suggested that further investigations are conducted during annual revisions, as well as during the proposed site specific environmental assessment detailed in Section 3.9 of this document to provide more clarity on this specific issue. These investigations must include regular revision of the environmental risk assessment and consequently inform the responsible management of latent and residual impacts.

5.2 MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, COSTING AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

New international best practice guidelines that may be developed in the future (Section 3.4.3), will be considered in all annual updates of the financial provisions and changes to the risk assessment will be reported on. In addition, monitoring results and auditing reports, as described under Section 3.6.3, for two years after closure will inform the revised risk assessment further.



Appendix E1- Closure Cost Estimation

Cost Estimate

Item #	Activity	Item	Type	Cost Item	Comments	Number	Unit Price	Cost (Excl VAT)
1	Phase 1: Preparation for closure	Environmental Site Inspection and assessment	Work	BMM Senior Environmental Officer	Hours	50	R 0.00	R 0.00
			Material	Mileage	km	960	R 5.50	R 5 280.00
2	Phase 2: Closure and rehabilitation	Borehole plugging	Material	Groundworks & Rehabilitation - Plugging and grouting the borehole	Possible 1 deeps DD@ 600 m, RC/RAB boreholes only 10m into bedrock, no groundwater expected	10	R 10 000.00	R 100 000.00
		Borehole Surface Rehabilitation	Material	Equipment & Crew Mobilisation		10	R 6 400.00	R 64 000.00
			Material	Equipment & Crew Demobilisation		10	R 3 575.00	R 35 750.00
			Material	Waste bin transport	Bin placement and collection	4	R 450.00	R 1 800.00
			Material	Waste bin rental	per day	30	R 450.00	R 13 500.00
			Material	Waste disposal (per ton)	Disposal at BMM Licenced waste disposal facility	2	R 0.00	R 0.00
		Access road rehabilitation	Material	Equipment- Earth moving	BMM equipment utilised for rehabilitation work	28	R 9 000.00	R 252 000.00
3	Phase 3: Monitoring, Maintenance and Relinquishment	Vegetation monitoring	Work	Specialist: Ecology	SACNASP registered ecologist/ botanist. Survey undertaken once per annum , Kathu based practitioner	48	R 750.00	R 36 000.00
			Material	Mileage	2 Return trips to site.	1500	R 5.50	R 8 250.00
Professional Fees								R 36 000.00
Expenses								R 480 580.00
TOTAL COST (EXCL VAT)								R 516 580.00
TOTAL COST + 10% CONTINGENCY (EXCL VAT)								R 568 238.00
TOTAL COST + 10% CONTINGENCY (INCL VAT)								R 653 473.70