

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Gary Watkins

Date 2019/02/14 Method Email

Comment

Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd (EIMS) Contact: Cheyenne Muthukarapan P.O. Box 2083 Pinegowrie 2123 Phone: 011 789 7170 Fax: 011 787 3059 Please register the writer as an I&AP for the abovementioned project. Looking forward to this great initiative

Response

Dear Mr. Watkins, Thank you for registering as an I&AP on the above mentioned project. As a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) and associated appendices once they become available. All comments received will be submitted to the competent authority, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, as an appendix to the BAR for consideration in their decision making process. Should you have comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Mr M T Hadebe

Date 2019/02/07 Method Email

Comment

For Attention: Ms Muthukarapan Your BIDs have reference. Please find the attached comments. The attached document for Kaalspruit contained the following text: "ROW Application- City of Ekurhuleni Wetland and Watercourse Rehabilitation Planning Project: Kaalspruit Catchment Your Background information document dated 06 February 2019 has reference. Transnet Pipelines, a division of Transnet SOC Limited is not affected by the proposal as indication on the Tembisa/Kempton Park Locality and Site/Project Layout Plans. This wayleave period is valid for thirty-six (36) months from the date on this letter. Your awareness of the existence of Transnet's pipeline servitudes and concern for their integrity is appreciated.

Response

Thank you for your correspondence regarding the above mentioned projects. Could you kindly clarify if these letters represent wayleaves as we did not apply for such. We will however, pass them onto the CoE for their information. Should you have any further comments or queries please feel free to contact me.

Date 2019/02/14 Method Email

Comment

Dear Ms Muthukarapan, Your enquiry has reference. Our letters represent a form of response on behalf of Transnet Pipelines that their services are not affected by the proposed work/planning, etc. They can also be alternatively used as way-leaves as well. Your co-operation is appreciated.

Response

Thank you for your clarification. Should you have any further comments please feel free to contact me.

Mr Ian Faller

Date 2019/02/22 Method Email

Comment

Response

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Ian Faller

Dear Sirs, Attached for your attention. Extract from attachment: "Your notice of application for Water-use incense (Reference 1229) refers. Kindly be advised that the Midstream Ridge Home Owners Association (HOA) kindly request that we are registered as an Interested and Affected Party to the licence application and rehabilitation project of the Kaalspruit. It would greatly be appreciated if the details of the project inclusive of the environmental impact assessment can be made available for our perusal and comment. Thanking you in advance"

Dear Ian, Thank you for your correspondence regarding the above mentioned project. Kindly note that the Midstream Ridge Home Owners Association (HOA) has been registered as an Interested and Affected Party(I&AP). Please find attached the Background Information Document (BID) for the project as requested. Further to the above, kindly note that the Environmental Impact Assessment has not yet been made available for pursual and comment however as a registered I&AP you will be notified of its availability when it becomes available. Should you have any further comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Date 2019/04/16 **Method** Email

Comment

Dear Cheyenne, Just a friendly enquiry as to what if any progress has been made with the EIA and/ or other aspects on the Kaalspruit rehabilitation. Any news in this regard would be gratefully received.

Response

Dear Ian, Thank you for your correspondence and continued interest in the project. We are currently in the process of finalising the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) for the project which will be available for public review and comment in due course. As a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the BAR and will be notified of the dates and venues for the public open day and public meetings for the project. Should you require any further information or have any further comments please do not hesitate to contact me.

Mr Thato Maila

Date 2019/03/18 **Method** Email

Comment

Good day Cheyenne Mthukarapan, Thank you for writing to SANBI. I am writing this email to respond to a notification letter sent to SANBI as a potential Interested and Affected Party (The Environmental Authorisation application and water use licencing for the city of Ekurhuleni: wetland and watercourse rehabilitation planning project within the Kaalspruit Catchment). Extract from letter attachment: "Thank you for your invitation to the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) to register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) for the application EIMS reference number: Bw/cm1228. However, please note that SANBI only participates in applications for Environmental Authorisation as an I&AP if the application is for a development on a SANBI property or a property adjacent to a SANBI property, or if the application would impact on an area that has been highlighted as a priority implementation area within one of SANBI's Bioregional Programmes. SANBI thus kindly declines to participate in this application as an I&AP at this point in time. SANBI is a public entity mandated to act in an advisory or consultative capacity on matters relating to biodiversity to the Department of Environmental Affairs (i.e. the 'competent authority'). The Department and its provincial counterparts are welcome to engage SANBI for advice and/or comment on specific matters

Response

Dear All, Thank you for your correspondence and apologies for the delay in responding to you. EIMS acknowledges your letter distributed as per the email below. Please could you advise if SANBI would like to be removed from the I&AP database for the project or if you would like to continue receiving notifications regarding the project? Should you have any further comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Thato Maila

related to biodiversity information relevant to this application, if such input is required. Such advice or comment is not equivalent, however, to the comment required as per the NEMA regulations from commenting authorities. SANBI restricts its comment to the accuracy and relevance of the biodiversity information that should inform the Environmental Assessment. SANBI thus also declines to participate as a commenting authority in this application. For comment on the biodiversity impacts of the development, please consult the relevant provincial conservation agency. I also encourage you to visit our web portal <http://biodiversityadvisor.sanbi.org> for free access to spatial biodiversity information relevant for land-use planning and decision-making processes. Referencing the spatial biodiversity resources found on the Biodiversity Advisor in the early stages of project development can support informed planning and decision-making while helping to timeously 'iron out' obstacles that might otherwise result in delays and additional costs to the project proponent. Such a proactive approach can:

- Show the decision-making authority that potential conflict between biodiversity priorities and other landuses has been identified and resolved by well-informed project planning;
- Allow the proponent to take an informed decision about the biodiversity (and administrative and, by implication, financial) risks of proceeding with a particular project;
- and - Identify the scope, type and intensity of environmental assessment that is likely to be required if an application were to proceed. This approach also supports best practice in environmental assessment and planning by:
- Ensuring that a project is consistent with the 'Duty of Care' principle (i.e. that the project proponent has taken reasonable measures to prevent significant degradation of the environment);
- Emphasising the fundamental role of alternatives in selecting the best practicable environmental option;
- Giving effect to the mitigation hierarchy, i.e. the sequential avoidance, minimising, mitigating and remedying of impacts that may result in loss of biodiversity or disturbance to ecosystems; and
- Supporting the principle that environmental management must pay specific attention to planning procedures pertaining to sensitive, vulnerable, highly dynamic or stressed ecosystems. Please feel free to contact me should you require any assistance or have any queries re: using the resources on our <http://biodiversityadvisor.sanbi.org> web portal.

Ms Jessica Henning

Date	2019/03/11	Method	Email
------	------------	--------	-------

Comment

Morning, Thank you for this reply and feedback. Much appreciated.

Response

No response was required.

Date	2019/03/11	Method	Email
------	------------	--------	-------

Comment

Response

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Ms Jessica Henning

Morning, Thank you for this reply and feedback. Much appreciated.

Dear Ms Henning, Thank you for your correspondence regarding the Kaalspruit Catchment Project. Kindly note that you have been registered as an I&AP on the project. As a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to review and comment on the Basic Assessment Report and associated appendices once they become available. Should you have any further comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Mr Wilem Synman

Date 2019/03/14 Method Telephone

Comment

Mr Synman called to register for the Kaalspruit and Rietvlei Wetland Rehabilitation Projects.

Response

Thank you for your call and registering for the above mentioned projects. Kindly note that you have been registered on both project databases and will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Reports for both projects once they become available. Please could you kindly confirm receipt of this email. Should you require any further information or have any comments do not hesitate to contact me.

Mr Andrew Barker

Date 2019/02/05 Method Email

Comment

Hi I refer to the notice in the Tame Times of 5 February 2019 regarding the above three projects. Please register me as an IAP for each project, my details are below. Furthermore, please provide additional information that is available, BIDs and shape files of the project areas and stream/watercourses affected for each project.

Response

Hi Andrew, My apologies in the delay in responding to your mail. Kindly find attached the BID for all 3 projects. I currently do not have a KML of all the affected watercourses but I will send them to you in due course. I currently have our GIS specialist compiling the KMLs as per your request. Should you have any further comments or queries please feel free to contact me.

Date 2019/02/14 Method Email

Comment

Thank you Cheyenne. I look forward to receiving the files from you. We can then review the areas affected more closely and provide meaningful comment.

Response

Dear Andrew, Kindly find find attached the KML for all three catchments indicating the catchment boundaries and the prioritised wetlands/watercourses within them. Furthermore, kindly note that you have been registered as an I&AP on all three projects. As a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Reports (BARs) and associated documents when they become available. All comments received will be included in the Comments and Response Report that will be submitted to the competent authority, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD) as an appendix to the BAR. Should you have any queries with regards to the KML or to the projects please do not hesitate to

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Andrew Barker

contact me.

Date 2019/03/08 Method Email

Comment

Hi Cheyenne. Please correct your database. My KlipSA postal address is PO Box 1725, Mondeor, 2110. I only received your registered letters for all three projects today as you used PO Box 770.

Response

Dear Andrew, Thank you for the information. I will correct the I&AP databases for all three projects. Should you have any further comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Mr Paul Claassen

Date 2019/02/22 Method Email

Comment

Dear Miss Cheyenne Muthukarapan, Please find the attached letter for your attention. Extract from email attachment: City of Ekurhuleni Wetland and Watercourse Rehabilitation Planning Project: Kaalspruit Catchment The application does not seem to have a GDARD application number yet and is currently referred to as 1228. We would appreciate it if you can register the Greater Midstream Forum (GMF) as an interested and affected party. Environomics will represent the GMF in comments and liaison in respect to the application. Paul Claassen will be the contact person: PO Box 400, Midstream Estate, 1692; E-mail: paul@environomics.co.za; Cell: 082 779 2262 The Greater Midstream Forum (GMF) represents the Midstream Estate Home Owners Association (HOA), the Midfield Estate HOA, The Midlands Estate HOA, the Midstream Hill HOA, the Midstream Ridge HOA, Retire@Midstream HOA, Bondev Developments, Bondev Midrand and Midstream Electrical Supplies in respect to this matter. The greater Midstream area is situated directly to the north of the confluence and bordering the confluence of the Olifantspruit and its tributary, the Kaalspruit. It represents a largely urban residential area of more than 5000 households that also inter alia includes: ▪ Several pre-primary schools; ▪ Two primary schools; ▪ A high school; ▪ Two shopping centres; ▪ Offices; ▪ Four churches; ▪ A medical centre; ▪ A Mediclinic hospital and related services; ▪ A dementia patient care facility; ▪ Extensive sport and recreation areas; and ▪ A nature conservation component. The interest of the GMF in the application is that the greater Midstream area is directly affected by the severe pollution in the Kaalspruit and the Olifantspruit which affects the Constitutional rights of the Greater Midstream community "...to an environment that is not harmful to their health and wellbeing...". The rehabilitation of the area and the proper management of pollution sources are long overdue and the planning project is therefore supported in principle. 1st GMF review comments: A: The catchment indicated on the map extends beyond the boundaries of the Kaalspruit catchment and incorporates a significant

Response

Dear Paul, Thank you for your correspondence regarding the proposed City of Ekurhuleni Wetland and Watercourse Rehabilitation Planning Project. Kindly note that the application has not yet been submitted and as such no GDARD reference number is yet issued. This was the initial notification and call to register period. Further to the above, kindly note that you have been registered as an I&AP on behalf of the Greater Midstream Forum. As a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the BAR and associated appendices when they become available. Kindly find our response to your comments below: A. Would you kindly provide us with your shape files for the Kaalspruit and Olifantspruit Catchment boundaries in order for us to investigate this matter further. The delineation we have is based on our specialist input. B. Our referencing of the NEMA Regulations are in alignment with the GDARD clarification provided in 2017 (please see attached e-mail). C. It is our understanding that the regulations do not require the notifications to include the full text of each of the potential listed activities. We do indicate where potential interested parties may obtain further information from us and we would provide the full text on request. D. See C above. Should you have any further comments or queries please feel free to contact me.

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

portion of the Olifantspruit catchment. This must be corrected either on the map or in the description/title of the activity. B: The advertisements and Background Information Document (BID) refers to the wrong Government Notices namely GN R. 983 of 4 December 2014 which has been replaced by GN R. 327 of 7 April 2017 and GN R 985 of December which has been replaced by GN R. 324 of 7 April 2017. This must be corrected in the advertisements and the BID. It is also not good enough to just list the activity numbers as most Interested and Affected parties do not have ready access to the Government Notices. The Basic Assessment process must be done in terms of GN R. 326 of 7 April 2017 and not in terms of GN R. 982 of 4 December 2014. C: For clarity, relevant activities as listed in GN R. 327 of 7 April 2017 (Listing Notice 1) should read: 12. The development of— (i) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area, exceeds 100 square metres; or (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; where such development occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; — excluding— (aa) the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such development activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such development occurs within an urban area; (ee) where such development occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves; or (ff) the development of temporary infrastructure or structures where such infrastructure or structures will be removed within 6 weeks of the commencement of development and where indigenous vegetation will not be cleared. 19. The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse; but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving— (a) will occur behind a development setback; (b) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan; (c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in this Notice, in which case that activity applies; (d) occurs within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; or where such development is related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies. 27. The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for— (i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. 31. The decommissioning of existing facilities, structures or infrastructure for— (i) any development and related operation activity or activities listed in this Notice, Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or Listing Notice 3 of 2014; (ii) any expansion and related operation activity or activities listed in this Notice, Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or Listing Notice 3 of

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

2014; (iii) (iv) any phased activity or activities for development and related operation activity or expansion or related operation activities listed in this Notice or Listing Notice 3 of 2014; or (v) any activity regardless the time the activity was commenced with, where such activity: (a) is similarly listed to an activity in (i) or (ii) above; and (b) is still in operation or development is still in progress; excluding where— (aa) activity 22 of this notice applies; or the decommissioning is covered by part 8 of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) in which case the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 applies. 48. The expansion of— (i) infrastructure or structures where the physical footprint is expanded by 100 square metres or more; or (ii) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area, is expanded by 100 square metres or more; where such expansion occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding— (aa) the expansion of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such expansion activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such expansion occurs within an urban area; or (ee) where such expansion occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves. 67. Phased activities for all activities: (i) listed in this Notice, which commenced on or after the effective date of this Notice or similarly listed in any of the previous NEMA notices, which commenced on or after the effective date of such previous NEMA Notices; excluding the following activities listed in this Notice- 17(i)(a-d); 17(ii)(a-d); 17(iii)(a-d); 17(iv)(a-d); 17(v)(a-d); 20; 21; 22; 24(i); 29; 30; 31; 32; 34; 54(i)(a-d); 54(ii)(a-d); 54(iii)(a-d); 54(iv)(a-d); 54(v)(a-d); 55; 61; 64; and 65; or (ii) listed as activities 5, 7, 8(ii), 11, 13, 16, 27(i) or 27(ii) in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or similarly listed in any of the previous NEMA notices, which commenced on or after the effective date of such previous NEMA Notices; where any phase of the activity was below a threshold but where a combination of the phases, including expansions or extensions, will exceed a specified threshold. D: For clarity, relevant activities as listed in GN R. 324 of 7 April 2017 (Listing Notice 3) should read: 12. The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. 14. The development of— (i) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area exceeds 10 square metres; or (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more; where such development occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour. 23. The expansion of— (i)

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

dams or weirs where the dam or weir is expanded by 10 square metres or more; or (ii) infrastructure or structures where the physical footprint is expanded by 10 square metres or more; where such expansion occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback adopted in the prescribed manner; or (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding the expansion of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour.

Date 2019/02/22 Method Email

Comment

Dear Miss Cheyenne Muthukarapan, Please find the attached letter for your attention. Extract from email attachment: City of Ekurhuleni Wetland and Watercourse Rehabilitation Planning Project: Kaalspruit Catchment The application does not seem to have a GDARD application number yet and is currently referred to as 1228. We would appreciate it if you can register the Greater Midstream Forum (GMF) as an interested and affected party. Environomics will represent the GMF in comments and liaison in respect to the application. Paul Claassen will be the contact person: PO Box 400, Midstream Estate, 1692; E-mail: paul@environomics.co.za; Cell: 082 779 2262 The Greater Midstream Forum (GMF) represents the Midstream Estate Home Owners Association (HOA), the Midfield Estate HOA, The Midlands Estate HOA, the Midstream Hill HOA, the Midstream Ridge HOA, Retire@Midstream HOA, Bondev Developments, Bondev Midrand and Midstream Electrical Supplies in respect to this matter. The greater Midstream area is situated directly to the north of the confluence and bordering the confluence of the Olifantspruit and its tributary, the Kaalspruit. It represents a largely urban residential area of more than 5000 households that also inter alia includes: ■ Several pre-primary schools; ■ Two primary schools; ■ A high school; ■ Two shopping centres; ■ Offices; ■ Four churches; ■ A medical centre; ■ A Mediclinic hospital and related services; ■ A dementia patient care facility; ■ Extensive sport and recreation areas; and ■ A nature conservation component. The interest of the GMF in the application is that the greater Midstream area is directly affected by the severe pollution in the Kaalspruit and the Olifantspruit which affects the Constitutional rights of the Greater Midstream community "...to an environment that is not harmful to their health and wellbeing...". The rehabilitation of the area and the proper management of pollution sources are long overdue and the planning project is therefore supported in principle. 1st GMF review comments: A: The catchment indicated on the map extends beyond the boundaries of the Kaalspruit catchment and incorporates a significant portion of the Olifantspruit catchment. This must be corrected either on the map or in the description/title of the activity. B: The advertisements and Background Information Document (BID) refers to the wrong Government Notices namely GN R. 983 of 4 December 2014 which has

Response

Dear Sir, Thank you for your correspondence and my apologies for the delay in responding to your comments. This email acknowledges receipt of your comments and a formal response will be sent in due course. Should you have any further comments or queries please do not hesitate to contact me.

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

been replaced by GN R. 327 of 7 April 2017 and GN R 985 of December which has been replaced by GN R. 324 of & April 2017. This must be corrected in the advertisements and the BID. It is also not good enough to just list the activity numbers as most Interested and Affected parties do not have ready access to the Government Notices. The Basic Assessment process must be done in terms of GN R. 326 of 7 April 2017 and not in terms of GN R. 982 of 4 December 2014. C:For clarity, relevant activities as listed in GN R. 327 of 7 April 2017 (Listing Notice 1) should read: 12. The development of— (i) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area, exceeds 100 square metres; or (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; where such development occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; — excluding— (aa) the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such development activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such development occurs within an urban area; (ee) where such development occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves; or (ff) the development of temporary infrastructure or structures where such infrastructure or structures will be removed within 6 weeks of the commencement of development and where indigenous vegetation will not be cleared. 19. The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse; but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving— (a) will occur behind a development setback; (b) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan; (c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in this Notice, in which case that activity applies; (d) occurs within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; or where such development is related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies. 27. The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for— (i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. 31. The decommissioning of existing facilities, structures or infrastructure for— (i) any development and related operation activity or activities listed in this Notice, Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or Listing Notice 3 of 2014; (ii) any expansion and related operation activity or activities listed in this Notice, Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or Listing Notice 3 of 2014; (iii) (iv) any phased activity or activities for development and related operation activity or expansion or related operation activities listed in this Notice or Listing Notice 3 of 2014; or (v) any activity regardless the time the activity was commenced with, where such

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

activity: (a) is similarly listed to an activity in (i) or (ii) above; and (b) is still in operation or development is still in progress; excluding where— (aa) activity 22 of this notice applies; or the decommissioning is covered by part 8 of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) in which case the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 applies. 48. The expansion of— (i) infrastructure or structures where the physical footprint is expanded by 100 square metres or more; or (ii) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area, is expanded by 100 square metres or more; where such expansion occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding— (aa) the expansion of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such expansion activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such expansion occurs within an urban area; or (ee) where such expansion occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves. 67. Phased activities for all activities: (i) listed in this Notice, which commenced on or after the effective date of this Notice or similarly listed in any of the previous NEMA notices, which commenced on or after the effective date of such previous NEMA Notices; excluding the following activities listed in this Notice- 17(i)(a-d); 17(ii)(a-d); 17(iii)(a-d); 17(iv)(a-d); 17(v)(a-d); 20; 21; 22; 24(i); 29; 30; 31; 32; 34; 54(i)(a-d); 54(ii)(a-d); 54(iii)(a-d); 54(iv)(a-d); 54(v)(a-d); 55; 61; 64; and 65; or (ii) listed as activities 5, 7, 8(ii), 11, 13, 16, 27(i) or 27(ii) in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or similarly listed in any of the previous NEMA notices, which commenced on or after the effective date of such previous NEMA Notices; where any phase of the activity was below a threshold but where a combination of the phases, including expansions or extensions, will exceed a specified threshold. D: For clarity, relevant activities as listed in GN R. 324 of 7 April 2017 (Listing Notice 3) should read: 12. The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. 14. The development of— (i) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir, including infrastructure and water surface area exceeds 10 square metres; or (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more; where such development occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development setback; or (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour. 23. The expansion of— (i) dams or weirs where the dam or weir is expanded by 10 square metres or more; or (ii) infrastructure or structures where the physical footprint is expanded by 10 square metres or more; where such expansion occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (b) in front of a development

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Mr Paul Claassen

setback adopted in the prescribed manner; or (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding the expansion of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour.

Ms Amanda Jacobs

Date 2019/02/07 Method Email

Comment

Good morning, I would like to register as an Interested and Affected Party of the Kaalspruit Catchment Project. I am the Chairperson of the Hennops River Catchment Forum.

Response

Dear Amanda, Thank you for registering on the Kaalspruit Catchment Project. Kindly note that as a registered I&AP you will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) and associated appendices. All comments received will be included in the Comments and Response Report (CCR) that will be submitted to the competent authority, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD), as an appendix to the BAR. Should you require any information or have any comments please feel free to contact me.

Mr Hein Venter

Date 2019/02/15 Method Email

Comment

Thank you for returning my call this morning. As discussed, please find attached more information on our on-line microbial analyser. The Coliminder has proven itself to be effective in detecting microbial contamination in various water sources. The application where it is utilised most is in WWTP's but with companies, water utilities and municipalities all over the world has installed it at drinking water plants and surface water monitoring sites. Please see the following link of published paper where the effectiveness of on-line surface monitoring is discussed - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0043135419300211?dgcid=author> The big advantage of our system is the generation of data over a period of time (timeline data) that tells a story of the water system and that can be used to make informative decisions, either from a process point of view or from a contamination detection point of view. Our system can provide results in as little as 15 minutes. I have attached some specifications and brochures for your information. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Response

Good morning Hein, Thank you for your interest in this project. We will pass on your email to the City of Ekurhuleni for their information and consideration. Should you have any further comments please do not hesitate to contact us.

Cecilia Rakgoale

Date 2019/02/14 Method Email

Comments and Responses 1228 ZAS Ekurhuleni Wetland Rehabilitation Kaalspruit

Cecilia Rakgoale

Comment

The above matter refers. This email serves to acknowledge receipt of the notification for an environmental authorisation application on the proposed project. Kindly, register the Environmental Resource and Waste Management Department as interested and affected party on behalf of the City of Ekurhuleni. All corresponded pertaining to the proposed project can be forwarded to: Attention: Mr Thabang Mokoena Division Head: Legislative Governance and Compliance Environmental Resource and Waste Management Department Cnr Hendrick Potgieter and Van Riebeeck Avenue Edenvale Office no. 201/205/214 First Floor Email: cecilia.rakgoale@ekurhuleni.gov.za or Sifiso.ndwandwe@ekurhuleni.gov.za Tel: (011) 999 3316/3376/2006

Response

Dear All, Thank you for your correspondence regarding the above mentioned project. Kindly note that Mr Mokoena and Mr Ndwandwe have been registered on the projects I&AP databases. As registered I&APs the CoE will be provided with an opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) and associated appendices. Should you require any further information please feel free to contact me.